

De-Zionisation and the New Independency Fight

The **American Revolutionary War** or **American War of Independence** (1775–1783) liberated the 13 original states from foreign oppression and guaranteed for 200 years important civil rights. Soon after, however, the indigenous people of North America were nearly annihilated in a great settler's movement (with similarities to present times) – both in the still British Dominion of Canada and what was to become the United States of America. The nobility was rapidly established: certain rich families on the East Coast soon got a near monopoly on the political system, and still only a very rich man can be elected into the most superior, men-only office of the President of the USA. The juridical system developed into the best money can buy, and the making of money was given away to a private banking consortium (now nearly worldwide). Several civil rights were abandoned with the P.A.T.R.I.O.T. Act in 2001, and the same year marked the final sell-out of national independency. The only industry, which really functions, is stimulated by endless wars, but these are clearly being led by another nation. While life in America deteriorates for most of its inhabitants (economy, social security, health), the extremely rich are demarking themselves as a separate caste while a small foreign nation shamelessly abuse a disproportionate influence.

According to a recent poll [1], almost nine in ten Americans give congress negative ratings – but, as Michael Rivero (WRH) remarked, only three out of every ten Americans sported the revolution in 1776. The question seems unavoidable, disregarding your general feeling to the concept: is the next American Revolution imminent?

Loyalty Declarations to a Foreign Power

In all other nations of the World, political leaders serve their own country. They may not serve it good, but at least their intentions are the best. Not so in the United States, here recently $\frac{3}{4}$ of the members of the congress signed acts that sets the affairs of a foreign power higher than the state they were elected to serve [2,3] (to which they may have sworn with an oath). They are important documents, since they indicate the crime of high-treason and ought to be punished plainly, disregarding any election process. However, since the traitors are in majority, it will not be so easy to get rid of them.

Seen from the other side, the money given out for these peculiar politicians is excellently invested. Something paid by AIPAC for politicians of both parties (we do not call it corruption) and the luxurious travels to Israel, where we do not notice the universally present apartheid-wall; there is only one obligate visit interrupting the happy days at the pool, a visit to the central temple of the holocaust industry, where politicians of the world with broad hat or small kipper (male disciples) with bowed head celebrate their nomination, gratefully thanking their hosts for making it all possible.

Who shall not be seen in these surrounding are uncorrupted politicians given the designation 'anti-Semites' and effectively fought by various Jewish organizations. Only few politicians survive the antipathy related to receiving this designation.

The American '*pro-Semites*' expresses their gratitude partly financially (basically 3 billion dollars, 60% of the official foreign aid, but in reality much more goes to Israel), partly politically through international support of the Zionist state. Simultaneously, the process of 'Zionisation' haunt other states at worst Canada and Australia, but also most of Europe and New Zealand laurels the Racist nation, disregarding their own populations empathy, which is generally reserved towards the global war-monger number one.

1 <http://markets.chron.com/chron/?GUID=13627650&Page=MediaViewer&ChannelID=3197>

2 <http://www.israelnationalnews.com/News/News.aspx/137005>

3 <http://www.aipac.org/Publications/SourceMaterialsCongressionalAction/BoxerIsaksonHRC03.29.10.pdf>

The Rise of Influence

In USA, there are around 2% Jews, not all Zionists. They are represented by 13 Jews [13%] in the Senate and 32 Jews [7%] in the House [4]. Although this contribution definitely will bring me all imaginable bad designations, I try to state that I have nothing against the Jews, believe it or not. I can even accept a moderate overrepresentation, if the appropriate politicians follow their national duties. But this description does not fit the popular representation at the capitol. To this the obsessive phenotype of the 'bought assistants,' the previously mentioned 'pro-Semites,' must be considered.

Apart from their domination in politics, Jews loyal (only) to the Zionist state are found in abundance in leading positions in banking and the media, crucial to the behaviour of their customers. They have now reached the zenith of their influence, and it has already begun to crumble. The open abuse is simply too visible.

A few days ago, a well-known Hollywood filmmaker, Oliver Stone, claimed the obvious, that Jews have decisive influence. The reaction was stonewalling and in itself a confirmation of the truth of his claim: within 24 hours, he had retracted his comment and excused in public towards the masters [5].

The Contrast

More than ever, the contrast within the American society has increased. The super-rich on one side has protected themselves scrupulous while the proportion of those on society's shadow side rapidly is increasing, with millions of people losing their homes and millions (partly the same) losing their jobs. The gap between rich and arm is rapidly increasing [6]. To the lowest society class belongs generally the war veterans who, if diseased (post-traumatic stress syndrome, exposure to radioactive material [DU] and amputations or other injuries associated with chronic disability), are left in the sump in a strange realisation of 'the survival of the fittest.' Nobody seems to be ashamed when veterans are billed for loss of equipment and non-fulfilment of a contract through such disability.

In spite of the tremendous presents to the war-addicted and racist state of Israel, USA has no surplus to dispose of, and neither has Germany, who gives comparable present – alone their substitution of the five nuclear Dolphin-type submarines cost the German taxpayer ~4 billion \$. At home, both countries suffer a deteriorating infrastructure. Both are, however, determined to defend Israel in its present course (officially: the existence of the Jewish state). We cannot know exactly how much flows into this bottomless hole that may never get enough, because part of this aid is kept secret. Let us therefore consider, what this aid is supporting – which is valid both for the economical and political support. Let us consider the moral side of the co-responsibility that such supports produce.

Costs in Morality

For the seeing, the infamous aspects of Zionism become increasingly difficult to ignore. Its supporters see themselves confronted as affiliated with racists of the ugliest kind, performing ethnic cleansing [7] even before the establishment of the Jewish state – perhaps only recognized retrospectively. The attitude of many Jews against the original inhabitants of the land, they stole, is often arrogant and criminal [8]. All settlers must,

4 <http://tinfoil-hat-news.blogspot.com/2010/07/jews-who-run-congress.html>

5 <http://gilad.squarespace.com/writings/gilad-atzmon-oliver-stone-apologized-for-telling-the-truth.html>

6 <http://www.cbpp.org/cms/index.cfm?fa=view&id=3220>

7 <http://www.schou.de/terrorwar/Ethnic-Cleansing-Palestine.pdf>

8 <http://www.schou.de/terrorwar/One-State-Solution.pdf>

e.g., be regarded criminal since they live on stolen land – also if it was first stolen by the Israeli State. Fortunately, there are exceptions but they are in the minority among the Jews, perhaps also abroad.

Since the attack in 1981 on Iraq's power plant, Israel has been in nearly constant war. It has the longest service time on earth. Its challenging attitude against other nations, which it is not yet in war with, is characterized by aggressive words, occasionally also acts of direct warfare. It is a nuclear power with long-ranging submarines and as such a threat to any other country in the World [9]. The nature of this unique country does not permit neutrality. Are you for or against it? Supporting racism will also reflect on the followers!

Just the Beginning

Of course, this recognition will not spread universally instantly. The next election in the USA must be expected to go to the Zionists. Important is to ask the candidates about their standing: Zionists or humanists, for or against the Afghan War (and perhaps soon the Iranian), for Civil Rights or for rendition and Guantanamo, et cetera – just being asked may also exert some influence. With exception of the extreme right, humanists can be found scattered among all parties.

The ninth 9/11 celebration is only days away as this paragraph is added. Will the average American (whether Jewish or not) still support Israel when its part in the WTC-Demolition (and thereby the lethal toxicity cloud over New York) is finally disclosed? Israel's future will shape differently without the uncritical – and totally illogical – American support to a country that attacked it several times.

'We the people' would probably be in majority if there were a truthful election, but as the American election 2004 showed, results may be decided by those responsible for counting the votes. To change that is part of the new independency fight.

July 31, 2010

J. Schou

Revised Sept. 2, 2010