

# Your Enemy's Enemies are not Necessarily Your Friends

In the Era before the apocalypse breaks out in the industrial World, there is a temptation to join ranks with the ones who stand up against the evil forces. Therefore, an analysis must be made, who is to be found behind which of the fronts of the threatening Armageddon [1]. The title of this analysis already betrays its conclusion.

## Who is the Enemy?

The symbolic figure is, as was early stated in another essay [2], obviously a straw man. His Vice-President, Dick Cheney, better suits the role, although his medical register lets one assume that he is not acting alone. Most probable, there is a real conspiracy behind this 'neo-conservative revolution.' For a distant observer, it is difficult to be more specific.

The close allies to this evil league may be more naive than strictly evil themselves. However, it seems fair to sum up the following present or previous leaders for an upcoming war-criminals process (Nürnberg?), mainly for their knowledge of the faked arguments of the War in Iraq [3]: Tony Blair (UK), John Howard (Australia), José Maria Aznar (Spain), Anders Fogh-Rasmussen (Denmark) and Silvio Berlusconi (Italy). Maybe I forgot some, and certainly some Eastern European countries are going to participate, but I am not the prosecutor.

In addition to the 'inner circle, there is an overwhelming amount of passive associates, for which it is not certain that evil motives are behind the evil deeds – and supporting Bush can be counted as such. It is still 2007 a widespread misunderstanding that there is a war against terror going on; the true role of the state terror behind 9/11 [2], 3/11 [4], 7/7 [5] and the Middle East [6] has not received broad attention, and those who try to make aware of it are given the designation 'conspiracy theorists,' to scrap us of any credibility. Nevertheless, these 'passive associates' are dragging us into an unlimited warfare. And that may force us into the influence of the enemies of our enemies. But are they any better? Let us start from below. The dictators of Africa (e.g., Robert Mugabe of Zimbabwe) and Asia (e.g., Kim Il Sung of North Korea) are not interesting in this connection because they are not trying to export their repressive regimes beyond their countries' border.

## Mahmoud Ahmadinejad

The Iranian President is a fearless provocateur. What has occupied the Western media is his attitude towards Israel and his desire to build a nuclear power station. What is seldom referred is the permanent presence of an Israeli submarine in the Persian Gulf (probably armed with nuclear weapons).

Ahmadinejad make long speeches in his native language, Farsi. That provides his opponents with the weapon of erroneous translation and endless repetition of their false conclusion, such as in the "Israel wiped off the map" propaganda. The real content of that speech, being held on October 25, 2005, has been analysed in various alternative media [7, 8], but that does not prevent it being abused again and again. It is not referred in the mainstream media that it was only Israel, which wiped another country, Palestine, off the map.

Another provocation of Israel was the holocaust conference, held in Teheran in December 2006. At a time where details of the holocaust, in particular the number of the

---

1 [Stop the War – We Are at the Wrong Front](#)

2 [Who Were Responsible for 9/11?](#)

3 [Leaked Documents for the War in Iraq](#)

4 [Who Were Responsible for 3/11?](#)

5 [Who Were Responsible for 7/7?](#)

6 [Who are responsible for the ongoing terror in the Middle-East?](#)

7 <http://www.uruknet.info/?p=m22711&hd=0&size=1&l=e>

8 [http://www.aljazeera.com/me.asp?service\\_ID=13061](http://www.aljazeera.com/me.asp?service_ID=13061)

victims, are not allowed to be discussed in Europe, this was made possible in Teheran. "We certainly say there was a Holocaust, we lived through the Holocaust. But in no way can it be used as a justification for perpetrating unjust acts against the Palestinians," the British rabbi Ahron Cohen, a participant at the conference, said [9]. The presence of Jewish rabbis at the conference was a particular provocation of the Jewish state. Subsequently, the participants and their families have been reported to be persecuted [10, 11].

The Iranian struggle for a power station is the lasting propaganda issue in the media. What is not referred there is that Iran, as having signed the nuclear proliferation treaty, is entitled to peaceful utilization of nuclear power and indeed also to enrichment of uranium. When other nations have fulfilled similar intentions, no scandal was raised about it, but here it is claimed that the real purpose is to produce nuclear weapons. The Iranian government has repetitively denied that. See further in a recent essay [12].

I also feel sympathy towards the leaders of Hamas and Hezbollah, primarily symbolizing the victims of a terror-state's aggression, but that does not suffice, as little as is the case with Ahmadinejad, to declare them allies in the fight against globalisation and the neo-conservative movement. We must look further.

## Hugo Chavez

The President of Venezuela was not always what he now appears. He was a officer in the army who led a failed revolt against the bourgeois regime of his country in 1992, after which he was jailed for two years. He was elected president 1998, but an uprising – inspired by American support – forced a re-election in 2000, which he won with a clear majority of the votes. He also won a regular new presidential election in December 2006. The opposition had threatened to boycott the election, to which Chavez threatened that he then would ask the population for an increased length of authority until 2021, a possibility that he might indeed be granted. Under this threat, the oppositional candidate did indeed pose for the election, which he, expectedly, lost.

Chavez victory was a confirmation that he has indeed done much for the poor people of Venezuela. The oil-rich state is characterized by considerable social problems. Venezuela was virtually a US colony until Hugo Chavez became the President [13]. The previously ruling class has tried to cling on the power by all means. These means have made life dangerous to Chavez, and they have altered his political appearance over the years.

Like Ahmadinejad, Chavez enjoys to make long speeches. In his weekly television programme, he never speaks less than an hour. He uses these appearances for regular attacks on the American president. He has, e.g., stated that it is an insult to compare Bush with the devil; the devil is also evil but intelligent. He has offered an independent 9/11 conference to be held in Caracas, an interesting option while currently impossible in the United States. Chavez has lead Latin American resistance against the influence from USA, presently resulting in an intense collaboration with the poor countries of Bolivia and Ecuador (where recently leftist presidents have been elected). He has, however, also demonstrated solidarity with Fidel Castro, the Cuban dictator throughout 48 years. That alliance should prompt caution, possibly a recommendation for Chavez to grasp the title of this essay. After all, although I enjoy his remarks about the American leadership, I do not consider myself an ally of Mr. Chavez. The search goes on.

## Vladimir Putin

In the centre of a magnificent propaganda war, we find the Russian president. He was appointed to succeed Boris Jeltzin, the first President of Russia who left his country with a tremendous debt. In addition, Stalin had presented large Russian areas to his Soviet republics of Ukraine and Kazakhstan, with his own rise 1992 also independent states, and he promised to respect their territorial integrity. With Jeltsin's reign, a large amount of suddenly superrich people appeared. This does permit the question if billions of Rubbles

---

9 [http://www.aljazeera.com/me.asp?service\\_ID=12864](http://www.aljazeera.com/me.asp?service_ID=12864)

10 <http://www.zaman.com/?bl=international&alt=&hn=39233>

11 <http://www.haaretz.com/hasen/spages/823116.html>

12 [War-Drums Against Iran](#)

13 [http://www.aljazeera.com/me.asp?service\\_ID=13511](http://www.aljazeera.com/me.asp?service_ID=13511)

can be acquired within a few years legally by somebody who had no fortune previously – as all sovereigns to the previous Communist rule by definition were. Simultaneously, the rouble was devaluated and the average Russians lost their savings, often also their job. Simultaneously, the Russian mafia evolved. The Western perception of Jeltzin, who died on April 23, 2007, as a symbol of freedom is not dealt with in Russia [14].

Putin changed that substantially. The majority of the new-rich Russians were Jewish, often holding a double Israeli citizenship. Of course, you cannot mention this fact without being accused of Anti-Semitism, but having done so on several occasions [15], I maintain this attitude. Among those who fled abroad was the media-mogul Boris Berezovsky, and the most prominent of those arrested and sentenced was Khodorkovsky while his companion, Leonid Nevzlin, managed to escape to Israel where he is now manager of the Diaspora museum in Tel Aviv. He met the former KGB-spy Litvinenko there, possibly of fatal importance to the latter, a few weeks before his death in November 2006 [16].

In the Western press, Putin was given the blame of Litvinenko's death as well as the death of journalist Anna Politkovskaya, a critic of the Russian warfare in Chechnya. In London, Berezovsky declared that he was supporting an uprising against Putin [17], something that even the British government were not prepared to accept from a man given political asylum status. Another Jew, former chess-champion Gary Kasparov, led almost simultaneous provocative demonstrations in Moscow and St. Petersburg, which were forcibly suppressed by the Russian police. Were they unnecessary rude or running into the trap of a propaganda war?


It is difficult to evaluate the occurrences. Undoubtedly, the Western press is very negative to Mr. Putin. Simultaneously, Russia is much better off without the super-rich oligarchs. The Russian economy is now flourishing, which to a great part is due to revenues from the energy resources now in public hand. With these, a large part of the state debts, made by the corrupt Jeltzin, have now been paid back. Military service has been reduced from 24 to 12 months and many regulations, as known from the Western European countries, have been introduced into civil life.

In World politics, Putin's Russia has stood up against the Bush-administration's crusade against Islamic states. It has exported both nuclear technology for the Iranian power plant and weapons to Iran and Syria, to be directed against the expected American and Israeli warfare (and whoever may else be drawn into the calamity). As a reaction, the United States is installing its 'defensive' rocket shield to Eastern European states. The rockets in Poland shall protect the United States against missiles from Iran and North Korea – for an American geographical understanding this may sound reasonable but how stupid may the European propaganda press think we are? Of better, how stupid are we? The new cold war is now in full rise, and the former free press has adopted to its old tasks in this game.

However, I do have difficulties to evaluate Putin's position – after all, he grew up as a KGB officer and his responsibility toward the Chechnya warfare under Jeltzin is beyond any discussion. However, he has made important correction and liberated Russia from a powerful mafia, a criminal syndicate similar to the one, which is now in power in the United States, to which the European countries now respectfully bend their heads.

## Vacant position: Oppositional Leader

Having considered the persons now having established opposition to the prevailing geopolitical direction without finding any with obvious qualities for the job, we need a leader of such a movement. The person in question should come from the median political spectrum (which has now been largely conquered by the neo-liberal (globalists) political forces [18]), thereby proving that you need not belong to the extreme left to be in opposition.



April 30, 2007

14 <http://www.heise.de/tp/r4/artikel/25/25154/1.html>

15 [Anti-Semitism: What's in a Word?](#)

16 [Death of a Spy](#)

17 <http://www.guardian.co.uk/russia/article/0,,2056321,00.html>

18 [The New Face of Capitalism](#)