

# Israel's Autumn Adventure 2007

"If people had known how close we came to world war three that day there'd have been mass panic," said a top ministerial source in Jerusalem, October 28, 2007 [1].

"They didn't bomb anything. Once they were spotted by our defence systems and we started attacking them, they threw their ammunition because this makes them lighter. And they threw their additional fuel tanks, which were not empty by the way, and they made a U-turn and they left ... the Syrian-Turkish border" [2]

Somewhere between these two statements, the truth about Israel's autumn-adventure must be found. On September 6, 2007, perhaps 6 Israeli air planes [3] entered Syrian airspace from the Mediterranean and flew along the Turkish-Syrian border to Euphrates (which partly traverses Syrian territory). There they attacked some buildings, which by the Israelis and Americans are described as a nuclear plant under construction and by the Syrians as an abandoned military installation. On the way back, they threw away empty fuel tanks (some of which were found in Turkey) and all managed to return to their bases.

The Syrians claim they fired at the enemy. Maybe they did, but they did not hit any. The question why was given particular attention: "IAF used electronic device to jam radars during strike on Syrian target last month ... Iran is concerned over the failure of Syria's air defense systems" [4]. "Israel used a technology similar to the US "Suter" system in order to be able to invade Syrian airspace without being shot down by the Russian made surface-to-air missile system ... [it] seems to use drones, which enter the hostile air space and send misleading signals to the monitoring systems" [5]. The Turkish daily Zaman suggested, "there has been increased speculation in Ankara that Tel Aviv received secret permission from the Turkish military for the flight by IDF fighters" [6]. An Israeli pilot arrogantly stated, "We don't know why you guys overreacted, we regularly violate Syrian airspace" [7], which may not be true. Last year, they bombed an installation North of Damascus but did so from a position in Lebanon of fear from the Syrian ground-to-air missiles. What a peaceful neighbour ... as stated in the Israeli daily Haaretz: "the Syrians warn of the consequences and are angry that no one seems interested in condemning Israel's illegal raid" [8].

What was the target? As stated above, it should have been a power station near Dayr az-Zwar, build with North Korean assistance, an initial reports indicated that several North Korean technicians were killed by the attack. The satellite view above was published in "der Spiegel," later Americans claimed "Photo suggests quick cleanup of suspected Syrian nuclear site," to which Rivero remarked "Only CNN could take a photograph that shows nothing, and spin it into proof of guilt." The neutral observer, confused by these conflicting statements, must presently keep all possibilities open. But even if the Israelis began their aggression in response to a nuclear plant, the Syrians – by the same logic – could bomb the Israeli (secretly build) nuclear power station by Dimona, should the Jordanians permit it (which they will certainly not; they will receive a radioactive cloud in return).

Back to the initial quotation: were we really approaching WW3 in September? There is a possibility. This was an act of warfare against Syria, which might have involved an Iranian response, had not the Syrian president Assad tuned any attempt of retaliation down – showing political cleverness obviously not found in Jerusalem. The pirate state feels free to attack anybody they like to, even if it precipitates a larger war – in which we then are at the wrong front [9].

October 28, 2007

---

1 <http://www.aljazeera.com/news/newsfull.php?newid=50393>

2 <http://mparent7777-2.blogspot.com/2007/09/israel-will-pay-price.html>

3 <http://www.aljazeera.com/news/newsfull.php?newid=41825>

4 <http://www.haaretz.com/hasen/spages/909608.html>

5 <http://www.spiegel.de/wissenschaft/mensch/0,1518,513531,00.html>

6 <http://www.todayszaman.com/tz-web/detaylar.do?load=detay&link=122238>

7 <http://mparent7777-2.blogspot.com/2007/09/israeli-air-force-we-dont-know-why-you.html>

8 <http://www.haaretz.com/hasen/spages/903399.html>

9 [Stop the War – We Are at the Wrong Front](#)

In April 2008, the propaganda about the alleged nuclear plant reached new heights. The congress was informed of the Israeli version and was not abstaining from using words of "Weapons of Mass Destruction," the term that trapped the World into the Iraq War and has also been used recently against Iran. If you believe the Mainstream Media, Syria was working with North Korean expertise on a nuclear plant. Proof of this claim was a video, delivered from a Mossad agent (now free for disclosure). However, the Washington Post mentions that the buildings have been visible by satellite since 2001. Moreover, the Syrian facility was not yet fully operational, there was no uranium for the reactor and no indication of fuel capability, according to U.S. officials and intelligence sources [10]. Analysts at the IACA question why there is no sign of security measures around the site and say the building does not seem high enough for a reactor [11].

In a leading article, The Independent asked if this was 'Intelligence or Propaganda?' [12]. "There is no independent way to verify any of this ... Israel's bombing raid [is] an enduring disregard for international law." The IAEA asked why the USA, if it was so certain of the undeclared power station, had not reported it to them previously. To Israel, it was mentioned that bombing the site made it impossible to analyze the aimed function of the facility [13].

It would be possible for Syria according to the nuclear non-proliferation treaty (which in the Middle East only Israel did not sign) to build a power station, provided this was reported to the IAEA. This has been carried out by Iran without securing them against the propaganda war of the Western media. Similarly, the public shall be cheated in this case.

April 26, 2008

---

10 <http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2008/04/23/AR2008042302906.html?hpid=topnews>

11 <http://www.guardian.co.uk/world/2008/apr/25/israelandthepalestinians.usa>

12 <http://www.independent.co.uk/opinion/leading-articles/leading-article-intelligence-or-propaganda-815872.html>

13 [http://news.yahoo.com/s/ap/20080425/ap\\_on\\_re\\_eu/nuclear\\_syria](http://news.yahoo.com/s/ap/20080425/ap_on_re_eu/nuclear_syria)