

Who were Responsible for 9/11



The concept of 9/11 stands for the events in America on 11th September 2001. It is rather clear, who were responsible for the crime, to which a lot of persons in New York and Washington fell victims – and still, there are many open questions. The true burden of the culprits is not proven on singular events and many questions are still open. Nevertheless, it is possible to address responsibility upon the American authorities *without the shadow of a doubt*. The multitude of *'coincidences'* happening on that day, the *active* (and – while in control of the authorities – so far successful) *destruction of evidences*, the *preparations*, as far as revealed by now, and the aspect of, *who profited* from the attack (*cui bono*) all point in one singular direction. But since those who created this misery are very powerful, they have managed to silence politicians and mainstream press worldwide, leaving the population with the impression, that those who try to focus on the true criminals are themselves not to be taken serious. The press, which should inform their readers, join the endless repetition of the official lies without asking a single critical question (but can they afford to do?). Those who read the following pages should afterwards pose themselves a painful question, why they themselves were listening to the 'false prophets' for so long time; a second consideration is, how has the World changed after 9/11, making this event a crucial turning point in history. Therefore, it remains important today, although the crime is still unpunished, the organizers stay in the highest position and the majority of people rest in unforgivable stupidity, refusing even to learn about the truth behind 9/11.

It may be naive to prove the crimes of those who control all legal systems and are steering the United States ever more clearly towards a dictatorship, making justice even more improbable. Maybe I can shorten your reading with this argument: We never had to prove what truly happened on [9/11]. All we had to do was prove that the US federal gov. had covered it up [1].

Four planes were allegedly hijacked and the four planes all disappeared. It remains the biggest secret of 9/11 what actually happened to them and those on board. Still, it is possible to make some conclusions. Some of these are most disturbing to our general perception of right and wrong, because they show that the intelligence services and police, whose task it should be to prevent and prosecute crimes, here assisted in performing or (at least) covering them instead. Therefore, you cannot expect any interest in solving the mystery of 9/11 from official hold and you will, if you decide not simply to join ranks with the strongest, have to deal with alternative sources instead, such as the present attempt.

1 <http://crimesofthestate.blogspot.com/>

Contents:

A. Preparations	3
The Neoconservative Movement	4
Larry Silverstein Leases the WTC	5
Financial Speculations Up To The Attack	6
Warnings	7
Aerial defence	10
FBI	13
B Hijackings	14
The Presumed Hijackers	16
Planes and Passengers	18
The Unnoticed Elephant in the Room	20
Who Invented Al-Qaida?	21
General about Transponders	23
Remote Control	24
Timeline	26
C. World Trade Center [WTC]	27
Betty Ong and Amy Sweeney	28
Controlled Demolition of WTC-1 and -2	29
WTC-7 – the Headquarter of 9/11?	33
WTC-6 and WTC-3	35
The Dust-Diseases	36
The Victims in WTC	37
D. Pentagon	38
What hit Pentagon?	39
Wreckage and Witnesses	41
Mrs. Barbara Olsson’s Telephone Calls	43
E. Shanksville	44
Mobil phones in Airplanes	46
Cleveland – the Ignored Scene of the Crime	48
F. Two Hour’s Inactivity	49
The Dog that did not Bark	50
The White-Wash-Commission	53
G. What was the role of Mossad?	55
Israel’s Foreknowledge	56
Mossad’s Possibilities	58
H. Mainstream Media’s Moral Decay	60
I. Conclusion	61

A. Preparations

9/11 was prepared for many months and actually announced one year before, at a time when George W. Bush had not yet managed to become President. Once the importance of influencing the public opinion is understood, many more examples can be found in history – at the moment, however, these would only distract.

It is reasonable to distribute the foreknowledge to various phases; after all, it was a big project to carry out. The political aspects were combined with complex economical ones, not all of which were foreseen, since some took advantage of their foreknowledge. Some preparations, on the other hand, may have been unspecific and not defined from the beginning. This could be the case of the at least 8 Arab flight-students who were later discredited as hijackers. Probably, in the confusion around the presidential election at the end of 2000, there were no definite plans, but the continued trouble after the manipulated election in Florida may have stimulated the ruling party's desire to speed up the plans and, at least, one of the effects of 9/11 was to stop all signs of disharmony over a stolen election.

The decision must have been made already in March 2001, when Larry Silverstein leases the two towers WTC-1 & -2, to be effective from the end of July only – why pay for unnecessary leasing rates? In July, several precautions are seen. The rules for interception of planes are changed and 6 exercises are planned, crucial for making the event possible but thereby also pointing precisely to those responsible for making it happen. August seems to be relatively calm in the US, therefore a number of countries are warning that something terrible is going to happen by use of hijacked civilian planes, to which the American administration turns a deaf ear. And then, a few days before, some of the involved billionaires cannot keep themselves calm any more and begin with tremendous financial speculations against the later damaged airline and insurance companies.

But this only reflects what can be recognized. The conspirators have been busy with preparing the demolition of the three skyscrapers, possibly the four planes to be abducted and certainly the 2-4 planes or missiles, that should simulate the abducted planes, because at least two of them were not ending up where people should believe them to. This huge project involved a lot of persons, of whom only a few, rather unimportant 'whistleblowers' are found. Moreover, there were a big number of persons, who knew what would happen; they were spying, in order to document the event and later blackmail the American government as it indeed happened. Somehow, the government also managed a swift control of the mainstream media, which swallowed all they were told and repeated it endlessly, instead of asking embarrassing questions.

The Neoconservative Movement

We should have noticed it before: the 'new Pearl Harbor' was announced already one year before, in September 2000. The description and its effects totally fit 9/11. Only at that time, George 2nd Bush was not yet president.

The Neoconservative organization, 'the Project for the New American Century' [**PNAC**], was established in Washington in 1997. Founding members were, among others, Dick Cheney, Donald Rumsfeld, Jeb Bush, Paul Wolfowitz, Richard Perle, Robert Kagan (the latter three well-known conservative Zionists) I Lewis Libby (Cheney's chief of staff), Zalmay Khalilzad (later Bush's ambassador to Afghanistan) and William Kristol. It was described as *"a non-profit, educational organization whose goal is to promote American global leadership."* Wolfowitz was one of the directors of PNAC until he was included in the new government.

In PNAC's 90-page programme from Sept. 2000, '*Rebuilding America's Defenses: Strategy, Forces and Resources for a New Century*,' the following quotation can be found on page 51: *"Further, the process of transformation, even if it brings revolutionary change, is likely to be a long one, absent some catastrophic and catalyzing event – like a new Pearl Harbor. Domestic politics and industrial policy will shape the pace and content of transformation as much as the requirements of current missions."*

Thomas Donnelly was the first author on the article [2]. One of the duller suspects in PNAC, who was also co-authored the quoted article, is Rabbi Dov Zakheim, an ordained rabbi. At that time, he was Chief Executive Officer [**CEO**] of System Planning Corporation's International Division [3]. This is one of the two competing companies that may have created the remote control of airplanes. He was made '*Undersecretary of Defense and Comptroller of the Pentagon*' in marts 2001, a post he quitted without obvious reason three years later at a time where FBI started hunting an Israeli spy in Pentagon (as if there was only one).

This gang of psychopathically and humanity despising war hawks is partly composed of East-American evangelical conservatives with strong ties to the oil- and weapons industry, partly of Jewish Zionists ('Likudniks'). They have not concealed their aims in the mentioned programmatical article, and it must be admitted, that they have largely been able to fulfil their dreams.

2 Rebuilding America's Defenses Program for a New American Century: Sept. 2000, P. 51 <http://www.newamericancentury.org/RebuildingAmericasDefenses.pdf>

3 <http://www.sysplan.com/Radar/CTS>

Larry Silverstein Leases the WTC

For two years, Larry Silverstein had been the owner of the 47-story WTC-7. This building was something particular: it was the mayor's exile centre of command in case of terror-attacks against New York, and it was furnished with a large deposit of oil within the building, not what you are expected to find within an office building. The possible role of this building for the 9/11 shall be described later.

In March 2001, Silverstein signed an agreement with the port-authorities (as owners of the world-famous towers WTC 1 & -2), a leasing agreement for the duration of 99 years. This agreement should be valid from July 26, what turned out to be 7 weeks before 9/11. The agreement was promoted by his Australian-Israeli partner Frank Lowy upon very favourable conditions [4]. Lowy is a friend of Lewis Eisenberg, the chief of the port-authorities.

The agreement seemed a bit odd on first view, since there had been talked about to demolish WTC because of asbestos pollution, which has in the meantime also been recognized as damaging even for Americans. One source would know, that only the lower 40 floors of WTC-1 was build with asbest but for that, you could expect a voluminous amount of damage claims (look for the lawyers when you search for 'mesothelioma & asbestos' in Internet). In 1989, it was planned to scrap the WTC for \$5.95 billion [5]. The firm Controlled Demolition, Inc. had made an offer of 3 billion \$ for the explosive solution (including removal of the debris, by far the bigger part of the project). It was the cheapest solution, with the tiny precaution that such was not allowed for Americans. A cautious demontation with orderly respect paid to health-damaging substances would have cost in the order of 40 billion \$ (money saved thanks to Arab hijackers). To this aspect a German description:

Das zulässige Verfahren sieht eine schrittweise Abtragung unter großen Vorsichtsmaßnahmen vor. Sämtliche Arbeiter müssen luftdichte Schutzanzüge mit Gasmasken tragen. Das Gebäude muss hermetisch verpackt werden, ebenso die herauszutragenden demontierten Bauteile. (The permitted procedure foresees a gradual demontation associated with serious precautions – all workers must carry airtight protective dresses. The building itself must be hermetically packed, similarly the detached building parts). [6]

Compare that to the dust clouds over Southern Manhattan and the unprotected rescue teams on Ground Zero.

4 Jerusalem Post, Sept. 12, 2001

5 <http://www.rense.com/general60/scrap.htm>

6 <http://www.das-gibts-doch-nicht.info/seite1938.php>

Silverstein agreed to an insurance of the leased towers, just in case they would be destroyed, and included terror attacks as possible reason for that. To that aspect, it deserves attention that the towers 8 years before had been target of a spectacular terror attack, an action that should have been prevented – but exactly the prevention had been prevented within the FBI, so that the subterranean bomb exploded and somebody were killed, only the towers remained standing.

Silverstein paid one months lease and one rate of the insurance. For WTC-1 & -2, which he did not own, he was earning at least 5 billion \$ and, subtracting the cost of WTC-7 two years before, he earned an additional 495 mio. \$ from that building. Possibly there was an expense for the demolition, but that may have been paid by the much more expensive cleaning operation, paid by American taxpayers. In December 2004, he was granted a further 1.1 billion \$, for the second tower – Silverstein had demanded the double sum of the initial 3½ bio. \$ since there had been two attacks = two planes. Instantly, you may ask what made the insurance companies surrender to this clear break of assurance conditions in historical record-dimensions. It is, however, a question if a company can act in the US after having spoken out the malicious words. On the three-year day of the attack, Silverstein also sued Saudi-Arabia and CNN reported Dec. 2008 that he sued the two airlines for \$ 16.8 billion – he can never get enough.

Financial Speculations Up to the Attack

The indications of a foreknowledge what precisely would happen were not modest, neither in number nor in volume. These started on August 26, amplified three days before 9/11 and lasted until that very day in the morning. Of course, Silverstein' action around the WTC propriety can also be regarded a financial speculation but this is considered separately (the financial aspects have just been above)

According to Bloomberg Financial News [7], of Sept. 18, 2001, enormous movements among shares on the stock markets of New York, London and Frankfurt were found, but also Tokyo and Hong Kong were affected. In particular, it was the so-called 'put options' that were involved. In the days between August 26 and September 10, these were negotiated with a factor 27 of the normal volume. A list of 38 stocks that could reasonably be expected to fall in value as a result of the pending attacks. In particular the banks with seat in or near WTC were losing value on the stock-market: Morgan Stanley Dean, Witter & Co., Merrill Lynch and Citigroup Inc. with ~13%, reinsurance companies, in particular Münchener Re, Swiss Re and Allianz with ~22% and airline companies, in particular United Airlines

and American Airlines with ~27%, all within a week. Retrospectively it is possible to conclude that somebody knew, who were going to bleed. The identity of the prophets has been settled but, of course, not made public. *Intuition* may be valuable in the stock market but here, it is appropriate to talk about *knowledge*.

Even in the morning, in the hours before the collapse of the WTC, a panical credit-card turn-out was taking place, around 100 possibly 'black' million \$ were washed white before the computers were hit in the exploding towers' dust and seconds later crushed in the debris of the building. You should not believe it was possible to rescue any data from these hard discs. However, exactly that happened: 'Convar Systeme GmbH' in Pirmasens, Germany, had within the last two years developed a new method of analysis and started up deciphering information from more than 400 of the crushed computers found at Ground Zero [8].

They succeeded to 100% [9], so convincingly that they lost the job. The task was taken over by the American firm Kroll Inc. with contacts to the government. From there, no further details have been provided to the public.

When somebody gets rich, many more usually loses money and quite a number gets bankrupted. The damage is generally, and also after 9/11, to be found among the small saving enthusiasts, but also bigger companies were seriously hit. Were there any objections to be found? If there was, they were not referred. Perhaps the small savers are more concerned about the lives lost. For the winners of 9/11, human lives were no big topic, neither was the loser's financial aspects.

Warnings

To the varying sort of warnings, most of the already reported may be counted, although their significance could only retrospectively be understood. Here, we are restricting the topic to direct warnings, reporting that something will happen as then indeed occurred.

The first category of warnings were submitted on highest level, among others from Russia, the Philippines, Italy and Egypt, reporting that something big was to occur and this would involve hijacked planes. The Guardian [10] mentioned that 11 countries warned the USA and also Mossad send two prominent experts to warn CIA and FBI that a 200-man strong terror cell was preparing some big operation [11]. Their list included four names of the later alleged hijackers, who were, however, not arrested. It should have been a topic for the 'White-Wash-

8

<http://groups.google.com/groups?q=December+2001+flight+from+Tora+Bora++Afghanistan+to+Pakistan+Taliban&start=20&hl=en&lr=&ie=UTF-8&oe=UTF-8&selm=9vlp7j%24m26%241%40slb2.atl.mindspring.net&rnum=22>

9 AP, ref. *International Herald Tribune*, 4.10.01, later in *CNN.com*, 20.12.01

10 <http://www.guardian.co.uk/comment/story/0,,1036571,00.html>

11 Daily Telegraph, September 16, 2001

Commission' to explain, if the government could have been warned. We know now that it had, that the authorities ignored the warnings and also why they did so.

There was the former CIA-employed Delmart Vreeland [12], who was imprisoned in Canada month before 9/11 and from his cell in Toronto tried to warn against the imminent attack, though in vain. Only after 9/11, the Canadians read what he had written before – and found it highly appropriate. But we still miss conscientious whistleblowers among the criminals responsible for this deed. The responsible have demonstrated a lacking or very small consciousness, therefore they can be expected to prosecute regretful sinners, should they else turn up.

Immediately after 9/11, rumors circulated that many employees of the WTC had stayed away from the work that morning. There was a confusion between the number of Israeli victims and American Jews in general and the fact, that only one Israeli citizen was among the WTC-victims (further two were among the passengers of the four planes) was taken as a proof of a Jewish plot. The Jews of New York reacted angrily and produced a list of over 400 Jewish victims. This blunts the most extreme claims but the question remains if this number (~ 1/6 of those killed in WTC) matches, how many of the 50.000 employees in the two towers were indeed Jews. Jews comprise ¼ of New York's citizens, and the proportion of Jews in banks and mercantile firms – the main occupation in the WTC– can be assumed to be much higher, although with the risk of being blamed for anti-Semitism for just mentioning it. If indeed some had stayed home that day, another question remains, if they gladly admitted it, including to admit that they did not warn others to do the same and someone else to prevent the deed. Later estimates consider there were only 17,400 persons in the two WTC-towers when the first plane collided.

Certain is that two co-workers of an Israeli firm, Odigo, received a warning by eMail from Israel, two hours before the assault. The Israeli daily Haaretz reported this September 22, 2003 [13]. The chief of the firm send this information further on to the FBI, which might have traced the source – but instead, also this important clue has disappeared into FBI's black hole.

In September 2004, Michael Ruppert reports an other incident in his book, *Crossing the Rubicon*. According to that, New York's mayor, then Rudolph Giuliani, knew that a second plane was to be expected but stayed inactive after the first attack. One of his assistants must have gotten access to this information and called his brother, who worked in the South Tower, warning him to get out. Giuliani's demonstrated also prophetic abilities in foreseeing the collapse of WTC. To ABC News Channel he stated:

12 <http://www.fromthewilderness.com/free/ww3/index-vreeland.html>

13 <http://globalresearch.ca/articles/DRO309A.html>

"I went down to the scene and we set up headquarters at 75 Barclay Street which was right there with the police commissioner and the fire commissioner, the head of emergency management, and we were operating out of there when we were told that the World Trade Center was gonna collapse" [14]. Raising the question why the fire brigade was not given the same information.

From Ruppert's book originates the subsequent information: *Zim Israeli-American shipping lines having vacated their offices in the World Trade Center just a week before the attacks and moving to Norfolk, Virginia. Two sources told me on condition of anonymity that Zim broke its lease to make the move.*

Minister of Justice John Ashcroft is known to have refused using public planes since July 2001, giving reference to some unidentified threat. Newsweek informed [15], that 'senior Pentagon officials' the day before dropped airplane reservations for 9/11 due to safety aspects. Willie Brown [16], the mayor of San Francisco, was warned against flying 8 hours before the attack. Salman Rushdie wrote in the Times on September 26, 2001 [17] that the American authorities had forbidden him to fly to the USA one week in advance of 9/11.

There must have been others who had lost the desire to fly on that day. In average, the four hijacked planes were only booked with average 21%:

Flight AA 011: 351 Seats, 92 Passengers (26%)

Flight UA 175: 351 Seats, 65 Passengers (19%)

Flight AA 077: 289 Seats, 64 Passengers (22%)

Flight UA 093: 289 Seats, 45 Passengers (16%)

In the long run, a flight company cannot exist with such low figures. Therefore, flights with less than 50% coverage are normally cancelled or combined with flights from other companies.

Also the staff and passengers of the four planes were not warned. Possibly, some had particularly been urged to take that plane. In three of them, there were six engineers from Raytheon, the competing firm of flight remote control to that of before mentioned Dov Zakheim, and in AA 77 sat Barbara Olsson.

The TV cameramen from CNN must also have had a good intuition. They were not in the office in WTC as the planes came in, but some of them had taken position from the roof of another skyscraper, from where they – just two minutes after the first attack– filmed live – we have all seen these impressive pictures, even several times. Also 5 Israeli agents, one of them disguised as an Arab, celebrated the event in New Jersey so noisily, that FBI arrested them. Shortly after they were, like all

14 <http://www.prisonplanet.com/articles/april2005/090405gotwarning.htm>

15 <http://www.disinfo.com/archive/pages/article/id2672/pg1/>

16 <http://www.sfgate.com/cgi-bin/article.cgi?file=/chronicle/archive/2001/09/12/MN229389.DTL>

17 http://www.ananova.com/entertainment/story/sm_409236.html

other Israeli spies, expelled to Israel, where one of them mentioned that their job had been to *document* the events.

Aerial Defence

9/11 was the day of the many coincidents. Only these coincidents could have made it all possible. To the most prominent ones count a reorganization of the aerial defence, as well as the many exercises on that day. These events point directly at the responsibility for the assault.

The aerial space over USA's northeastern states is the most densely flown in the World. It happens that civilian airplanes deviate from their planned tracks without making a correction possible from the ground. This is where an 'intercept' is taking place, a routine task for jetfighters, which have different bases, e.g. **Otis** near Boston and **Langley** in Southern Virginia (where also the CIA headquarter is). These two bases have the highest level of readiness, the pilots are ready in fighting uniform and can be in the air with their planes (F15 and F16) within 10 minutes (**QRA** - Quick Reaction Alarm). Once in the air, there are about 7 min flights to New York from Otis and to Washington from Langley. Other bases without QRA are **Syracuse** in the state of New York (who offered assistance in vain), **Andrews Airforce Base** ~20 km from Washington, (home of the president's Air Force One) and **Atlanta** in Georgia (the few remaining planes were occupied in an exercise – unarmed, of course).

Intercept does not imply that the naughty planes are shot down, they are shown by the presence of the fighters that they are on wrong course and by various means force them to land. In 2000, interception was carried out 56 times over America, before the rules were changed – and that happened on June 1, 2001 [18] by orders of Pentagon (NORAD, responsible for intercepting errant aircraft over the U.S., has a standard operating procedure for scrambling planes for interception which takes less than 15 minutes. They did this successfully (on time) 129 times in 2000 and 67 times between Sept. 2000 and June 2001 [19]). Thereafter, permission to 'intercept' was to be given by the secretary of defence in person, and exactly on 9/11, he was unavailable until 10:30 and pretended to have no idea about what was going on, while the president was shovelled away and sat in Florida and listened to goat stories (see later).

With exception of the mentioned bases, there should also be regular patrol flights along the coast against an unexpected attack from the Atlantic. These, if

18 http://www.dtic.mil/doctrine/jel/cjcsd/cjcsi/3610_01a.pdf: Chairman of the joint chiefs of staff instruction, j-3 cjcsi 3610.01a

19 <http://georgewashington.blogspot.com/2008/03/norad-stand-down-in-2-minutes.html>

existent, were not utilized on 9/11 – perhaps because they were not available. Most jet fighters had been drawn away to an exercise in Alaska and North-Canada, 'Military Exercise Northern Vigilance,' planned already since July [20]. Three other exercises with the initial title 'war game exercise' claimed their participants, so that on that day, only 8 (eight!) planes were available to defend Northeast America.

With a great delay (2004), General Ralph Eberhart suddenly declared that jets had started from Andrews and not at all from Langley [21]:

"If we had to go get them right this minute and have them take off, they're not armed. They're not armed. They're not armed for good reason because they're going to go fly a training sortie, so you don't want to be up there flying training sorties armed. So we would have to upload the munitions. And so therefore, if it's a come-as-you-are party or tragedy, as it was that morning, we had to take the assets that were armed because otherwise all they could go up and do is observe, which is better than nothing but it doesn't work the problem. ... So even though, for example, we talk about the aircraft that took off from Andrews that morning, they weren't armed. So they were observers is what they were. So they couldn't have shot down an airplane if need be."

Matthias Bröckers compares this statement with a mission by the fire-fighters, then forgetting to bring along the tubes. General Eberhart was in charge of NORAD (see later) and was, together with other persons making the event possible, promoted as consequence of his great personal achievement on the 9/11.

Four of the exercises were dealing with the military planes, the fifth, 'Vigilant Guardian,' shall be referred later, only the sixth exercise does not completely fit in the mosaic – and possibly it does. On September 10, **FEMA** (Federal Emergency Management Agency) raised its tents in New York at Manhattan's Pier 29, close to the WTC. Strangely enough, 'Non-Military Biowarfare Exercise Tripod II' stood under Cheney's direct control. It is assumed that they were involved in the destruction of proofs after the collapse of the three skyscrapers, but their presence could also have been a precaution, in case there would be many injured persons.

Vice-President Cheney, who initiated all the exercises, told about the decision to intercept, made by him personally after the defence secretary was unavailable, in an interview September 16 2001 with NBC (in 'meet the press'):

"Well, the -- I suppose the toughest decision was this question of whether or not we would intercept incoming commercial aircraft."

NBC's Tim Russert: *"And you decided?"*

Cheney: *"We decided to do it. We'd, in effect, put a flying combat air patrol up over the city; F-16s with an AWACS, which is an airborne radar system,*

20 http://www.kitcomm.com/comments/gold/2004q3/2004_09/1040905.003201.bweeeeeee.htm

21 http://www.9-11commission.gov/hearings/hearing12/staff_statement_17.pdf

and tanker support so they could stay up a long time... "It doesn't do any good to put up a combat air patrol if you don't give them instructions to act, if, in fact, they feel it's appropriate."

Russert: *"So if the United States government became aware that a hijacked commercial airline[r] was destined for the White House or the Capitol, we would take the plane down?"*

Cheney: *"Yes. The president made the decision ... that if the plane would not divert ... as a last resort, our pilots were authorized to take them out. Now, people say, you know, that's a horrendous decision to make. Well, it is. You've got an airplane full of American citizens, civilians, captured by ... terrorists, headed and are you going to, in fact, shoot it down, obviously, and kill all those Americans on board?"*

The North American Aerospace Defense Command [**NORAD**] surveys, as the name says, the North-American airspace. Instantly, you may get the feeling that they may have slept terribly that morning by NORAD. The opposite was the case: *"NORAD is unusually prepared on 9/11, because it is conducting a week-long semiannual exercise called Vigilant Guardian. NORAD is thus fully staffed and alert, and senior officers are manning stations throughout the US. The entire chain of command is in place and ready when the first hijacking is reported."* At first, they have definitely assumed that they were dealing with an exercise, until they saw CNN's pictures from New York. As part of the exercise, there were 18 other wild planes, some of them real, and thereby 22 blips on the radar without message from the transponder, in addition to a vast amount of blips from the regular planes. Four of these were the now well-known planes (probably some more), which should have taken off at 8:00 in the morning and shortly after (see further in Timeline).

'Vigilant Guardian' was the only exercise, that was mentioned in the report from the White-Wash-Commission, only its purpose was lied about [22]: *"The commission said its purpose was to intercept Russian bombers."* All six exercises were initiated and personally controlled by the vice-president Dick Cheney, who thereby must be suspected as 'leader of the gang' in this exceptional, murderous complot. May he live to defend this suspicion towards an earthly court of justice, but it is probably too much to hope for.

Towards noon on 9/11, when the airspace over America had anyhow been closed, 16 controllers gathered together in a conference room at New York Air Route Traffic Control Center in Ronkonkoma. They wanted to preserve the impression they had gotten in the morning. The controllers had talked to the pilots and followed their planes route on the radar screen. The conference was recorded, including statements of 5-10 minutes duration of ale involved.

This crucial piece of evidence was destructed by a Manager in Federal Aviation Authorities [**FAA**], the civilian American airspace administration, some months later [23]. He did a thorough job by cutting the tape into peaces and distributed it in different wastebaskets in the building. Why so energetically? He argued that it was in conflict with FAA's policy, which demanded written statements only [24,25] – and then he had a feeling, that the flight controllers, caused by the stress of the day, were not in the mood for this type of recording of their impressions. Needless to say that the dutiful manager was not punished, all controllers have in the meantime delivered written declarations (which, if they were available, cannot be matched by their original observations). The chief of the traffic ministry's own investigation therefore expressed: *"We have no indication that there was anything at the tape, that might have led to the conclusion, that the flight controllers had anything to conceal or had not fulfilled their duties on 9/11."* Translation: We have no idea what was on the tapes.

Imagine for a moment that you were one of the hijackers: 'We got the weapons on board, in all cases managed the pilots, flew over various nuclear power stations and were then so lucky, that the air defence was set out of order.' If you do not trust in the day of numeral coincidences, all this points at Dick Cheney as the central person. He was the one who arranged all the exercises and he was in control of the reaction, or the lack of reaction, from the White House. And Bush? He was in Florida and managed nothing, he has enough labour in controlling himself.

FBI

The new chief of the federal police [**FBI**] was Robert Mueller. He entered his new job on September 10, 2001, just in time to lead the largest police action in America's history. His predecessor, Louis Freeh, had resigned three months before. Within two days, this organization had clarified all essentials in 9/11, including the names of the hijackers and their leader in Afghanistan. Strangely enough, the subsequent years have not yielded any essential knowledge beyond what was known in two days. Evil tongues claim that FBI is the local black spot of this planet where all information of 9/11 is collected and destructed while no knowledge is reflected to the surface.

It is, of course, impossible to know if evidence is just collected or also destructed within FBI. In other areas, there has been a quite openly destruction of evidence, in particular after the three collapsed skyscrapers on Ground Zero,

23 <http://www.telepolis.de/deutsch/special/wtc/17373/1.html>

24 <http://www.nytimes.com/2004/05/06/national/06CND-TAPE.html>

25 <http://www.washingtonpost.com/ac2/wp-dyn?pagename=article&contentId=A6632-2004May6>

formerly the area of WTC. The above report from FAA is not originating from FBI, we are, in fact, fortunate to deal with a lot of different organizations, which could not always keep pace with the official line. All written information from the flying schools in Venice/Florida were delivered to Washington by Governor Jeb Bush in person in the evening of September 12. Nobody knows if they still exist. It is not inducing confidence when you combine the destruction or lack of evidence with the partly very stupid tracks that the FBI claims to have found. According to the American practise of justice ('the best justice, money can buy'), it is rather easy to force 'admittances' of smaller incorrect behaviour (e.g. price 50 \$) that can then be utilized as 'proofs' by threatening the person of 'complicity in the murder of 3,000 persons' in case he should not confess. Most sensible people would pay the small amount to get out of the certain situation – the rest may still be in jail.

In a big conspiracy like 9/11 there are, of course, some who cannot keep tight, but so far, this has been restricted to 'small fishes,' such as the so-called 'whistle-blower' Sibel Edmonds [26], who worked as translator for the FBI. Also other employees of FBI in lower position have raised protests, with firing as a consequence. As a logical consequence, obviously incompetent bosses, e.g. the one of Mrs. Edmonds, have been honoured and promoted: *"That FBI whistleblower Sibel Edmonds accuses the agency of intentionally fudging specific pre-9/11 warnings and harboring a foreign espionage ring in its translation department, and claims she witnessed evidence of the semi-official infrastructure of money-laundering and narcotics trade behind the attacks, is of no account, since John Ashcroft has gagged her with the rare invocation of 'State Secrets Privilege,' and retroactively classified her public testimony."* Mrs. Edmonds was, in fact, questioned for 3½ hours of the White-Wash-Commission. In the commission's rapport [27], this event was not even mentioned – somebody has something to hide.

B Hijackings

It is normal to regard anybody, who doubt the official version, that has been hammered into our heads by an effective propaganda, as conspiracy-theoreticians. It therefore deserves mention, that the first conspiracy-theory around 9/11 was brought on the market by president Bush some two hours after the attack on WTC: *"We think it's Usama Bin Laden."* Shortly after, the pictures were published of the young Arab men who, armed with non-metallic carpet knives, had stormed the cabins of all four planes. On the same day, a list of 18 hijackers was produced

26 <http://www.breakfornews.com/Sibel-Edmonds040607.mp3>

27 http://www.opednews.com/edmonds_080304_911_commission_letter.htm

which, after small corrections within the first two days, since then for at least 3 years could be admired at FBI's homepage [28] – not regarding that at least 7 of the nasty suicide pilots have reported alive afterwards. Interesting is the admittance of Robert Mueller the year after [29,30], that you really could not know who had been in the planes – but that prompted no change of FBI's homepage, nor did it prevent the statement, that the (unidentified) hijackers also spent some time in Iran, which is probably the next target of war after Afghanistan and Iraq. But is it any of our concern?

Also what the passengers were concerned, the reporting showed unsteadiness. Actual lists of passenger have never been published and some victims had to be retracted as they reported well and alive (an honour that was not granted claimed hijackers reporting alive). At FBI's homepage, 'good' and 'evil' were listed separately, arguing that the latter should not disturb the reputation of the first. Should they ever produce something that looked like real passenger lists, the confidence in the authenticity of these must be close to zero.

The movement of the alleged hijackers were supported by a number of clumsily faked tracks, which by von Bülow got the suitable designation as 'naïve Elefantspuren' ('simple-minded elephant tracks'). An important investigation of some of these persons behaviour before 9/11 has been carried out by Daniel Hopsinger, and I shall simply refer to that without going into excessive details. I shall only attempt to approach which persons may have some connection and which cannot have but, simultaneously, it need be emphasized that these Arabs were only cultivated as an alibi with the double purpose of explaining the 'cheap' demolition of the WTC and utilizing the occasion for warfare and patriotic restrictions of constitutional guaranteed personal freedom.

It is necessary to explain a bit about transponders, and also the theory concerning remote control of airplanes (whether unmanned or contrary to the pilot's will) needs consideration.

That not all aims succeeded is illustrated by the 'Timeline.' Largely, the conspirateurs can be satisfied, helped by the lame attitude by the press, and the delayed plane from Newark was not needed in the plot but could be claimed grounded in Pennsylvania.

28 <http://www.fbi.gov/pressre/pentbomb/pentbomb.html> (seen 2004, not 2005)

29 <http://archives.cnn.com/2001/US/09/21/inv.id.theft/>

30 http://www.americanfreepress.net/051302/FBI_Admits_No_Evidence_/fbi_admits_no_evidence_.html

The Presumed Hijackers

According to the endlessly repeated fairy tale, 19 Arab young men forced entrance to the cockpit of four planes and hijacked these. There should have been 20, five in each plane, but the missing person, Zaccharias Moussaoui, a 33-year-old maroccan (and french citizen), was arrested three weeks before (August 17) due to lacking permission to stay. At the moment (April 2005) he is kept isolated in custody and has not confessed to be involved in 9/11.

It is uncertain if there were at all Arab passengers onboard since the passenger lists have been lost (a fact their delayed publication cannot change). From Pentagon, an autopsy list failed to mention any Arabs on board [31] but then it is a question if there were at all passengers in the plane that hit Pentagon. In September 2002, Robert Mueller twice told CNN: "[there is] *no legal proof to prove the identities of the suicidal hijackers.*" Then something strange happened: nothing. FBI's homepage was not revised, no excuses delivered to still living alleged suicide pilots and – of course – no disturbing questions from the lamed press.

Mueller admitted the possibility, that identities could have been falsified or stolen (in contrast to the later claim that the terrorists had spent some time in Iran, as this claim turned out to be convenient) and that some names could be identical with living persons. The claim of 9 dead terrorists on ice from Pentagon and Shanksville [32] (were there any dead bodies from planes in any of the places?) should, if consequently followed up, have led to examinations of the earlier existing '9/11 culprits' surroundings, in particular their hair and toothbrushes to enable a comparative DNA-analysis, a circumstance that has been saved. The bloody amateurs, who are supporting this show, should finally be forbidden to deliver any further 'proofs.' It is impossible to perform any DNA-analysis of severely burned bodies, and had there indeed been humans onboard the missiles, that touched down in Pentagon and by Shanksville, then there can at most be small and unidentifiable body rests thereafter.

Having read Hopsinger's excellent reports, I was reluctant to waste more time on this fairy tale, but I must admit that it still plays an important role in many persons' confessional beliefs. Starting with FBI's list of 19 culprits, at least two groups must be formed: those who were in USA on September 11, 2001 those who were certainly not. In my analysis, I was forced to include a third group where I am not certain.

In the first group, we find the names of 1. Mohamed Atta (the alleged leader); 2. Marwan Al-Shehhi; 3. Nawaq Al-Hamzi; 4. Hani Hanjour; and 5. Ziad

31 http://www.sierratimes.com/03/07/07/article_tro_flight77.htm

32 <http://www.cnn.com/2003/US/Northeast/02/27/hijackers.remains>

Jarrahi. Among these, only Atta could fly a Cessna, but also a Jet ranger, while the others had proven incompetent even in the small planes – they only lived to true masters in big jets. Probably, CIA kept these persons, at least they enjoyed a rare protection and Hopsinger's research ('The Venice Flying Circus') indicates that M. Atta and M. Al-Shehhi in a certain era flew narcotics from Latin America. Focusing on M. Atta does not leave the impression of a Muslim with a strongly regulated life; drinking- and cocaine-orgies, two months together with a striptease-danser, pig-meat and an apparent lack of theological content of life does not fit that description.

M. Attas father, an Egyptian lawyer, told that his son had called him a last time on September 12, 2001 around noon [33] – he was himself at an excursion to the Red Sea at the moment: *"We spoke for two minutes about this and that. He didn't tell me where he was calling from. At that time neither of us knew anything about the attacks."* Except for those two, there were not many people in the World unaware of the 'attack on America.'

There is a difference between flying a small Cessna and a big Boeing, as a pilot, Wittenberg, wrote: "I flew the two actual aircraft which were involved in 9/11... Flight 93, the 757 that allegedly went down in Shanksville and Flight 175 is the aircraft that's alleged to have hit the South Tower. I don't believe it's possible for... a so-called terrorist to train on a 172, then jump in a cockpit of a 757-767 class cockpit, and vertical navigate the aircraft, lateral navigate the aircraft, and fly the airplane at speeds exceeding it's design limit speed by well over 100 knots, make high-speed high-banked turns,.. pulling probably 5, 6, 7 G's... I couldn't do it and I'm absolutely positive they couldn't do it" [34]

Already on September 21, BBC [35], then an independent news agency, reported that at least four of the alleged hijackers were still alive. Later, the circle was enlarged. It is indeed difficult to deal with the foreign names and, of course, quite possible that familiar names were confused. However, the above quoted admittance of Mueller, that the authorities know nothing about the hijacker's true identity, is impressing and fits this description. In order to explain the phenomenon, the possibility of stolen identity papers (somehow turning up with the FBI) has been mentioned, which some of the accused, still living suicide pilots have also admitted has happened. The definitely 'surviving suicide-pilots' from FBI's list are: 1. Saeed Al-Ghamdi; 2. Salem Al-Hamzi; 3. Ahmed Al-Nami; 4. Abdulaziz Al-Omari; 5. Mohaid Al-Shehhi; 6. Waleed Al-Shehhi; and 7. Wail Al-Shehhi.

33 Guardian, 2. Sept. 2002

34 http://infowars.com/articles/sept11/pilot_who_flew_2_planes_used_on_911_doesnt_believe_official_story.htm

35 http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/english/world/middle_east/newsid_1559000/1559151.stm

The third group lacks information distributing them to one of the others. Essential is the existence of both the other groups.

The brothers Bukhari deserve separate mention, since these were the only ones who managed to escape FBI's list. One had died in advance (also an alibi) while the other immediately reported being alive in America. Then there are the doubtful helpers, who have either disappeared in American prison's deep cellars (Ramsi Binalshib and Khalid Scheik Muhammed) or released due to insufficient proof of complicity of the local court in Hamburg (Mounir El-Motassadeq and Abdelghani Mzoudi). El-Motassadeq was later convicted to 15 years in jail while the judges were convinced that he had known about the plans for 9/11 - A justice scandal in itself.

Finally as mentioned above the '20. hijacker,' Zaccharias Moussaoui, who in 2005 - finally for a court - denied having participated in 9/11 (still no hijackers) but admitted *potential* participation in other plots. I better report an absolutely tight alibi for 9/11, only several months later did I recognize the false nature of this crucial event for certain.

The 'terror chief' Atta had on August 25, 2001 had not only ordered a ticket to 9/11 but also simultaneously opened a 'Frequent-Flyer' account. Not a typical act of a suicide pilot. However, no miles have been collected ever since. Alleged hijacker Hamza Al-Ghamdi, who should have been aboard the United Airlines flight which crashed into the South Tower of the WTC, had booked a future flight to San Francisco, not the ones to Paradise [36].

The existence of the Arab hijackers, who were only armed with carpet (or box cutting) knives, was a product of Barbara Olsson's conversations with her husband, see later. There is a good reason to doubt the existence of this conversation at all. Also the story of the miserable Cessna-pilots, who like Cinderella are transformed to air acrobats in big Boeings, is a strange construct, an important part of the government's conspiracy theory and to a certain degree well prepared. The real hijackers did not speak Arabic and probably worked with modern techniques, such as remote control.

Planes and Passengers

The abducted planes had a central importance in the plot of 9/11: four planes at once, thereby an attack at the WTC, a symbol of America's bank and mercantile activity, and then in addition an attack of Pentagon, thereby many civilian victims; impressive pictures, with terror attack live on CNN. Add to that a profitable collapse

of the skyscrapers, profits from financial foreknowledge and the political consequences in- and outside America as motivation for the event.

As already mentioned, there was ample space for the legs in the hijacked planes: 266 heads (including the staff but excluding possible hijackers) were distributed among 1,280 seats (plus seats for the staff). I have no idea what happened to these persons, but it must be assumed that most, probably all, have died on the 11th or 12th September. It needs emphasis that the passenger lists from the airline companies have never been published.

But comparative lists exist, and quite a number of them. Already the day after, CNN published some names which, however, were corrected several times after some of the presumed deceased reported back alive. Gerard Holmgren has studied this aspect in greater detail. For one of the planes (AA11), he has identified four different lists, each of them with deviating names and number of passengers [37]. FBI's homepage worked with isolated lists of victims and hijackers, since they did not wish to mix up the good and the bad ones. But where they then have the names and distribution of the hijackers from is nothing, that can be rationally understood – in particular not after Mueller's admittance, that they actually were not sure about it.

Much more complex (and, to the vast amount of us, not having relatives on board, also more interesting) is the question, if the abducted planes were also the ones, who entered the claimed areas and, if not, what then happened to them. Seen from the plot manager's point of view, it would, of course, be simpler to perform the attack and get rid of the passengers simultaneously, but there are no tacks of the last two Boeings where such should be found and also at the WTC, doubt has been raised if it was really AA11 and UA175. Did they land somewhere else and were only destructed in a subsequent night action over one of the oceans?

In addition to the flight number, which changes according to the route and direction, there is a unique identification, which is written in large letters and is repeated on all parts of the plane. Not one piece of hard aircraft evidence has been produced in an attempt to positively identify any of the four aircraft. Moreover, registry number N591UA (UA93) is still in operation [38] – was it cheap for sale after it crashed by Shanksville?

Please remember that even the specialists from NORAD could not track the planes before many days analysis of the many 'radar-blips' from the 'star-sky' over the East coast. Except for the four planes, there were also 18 others from the exercise, all without a transponder. And then they thought to know where the journey had ended.

37 <http://portland.indymedia.org/en/2004/05/288505.shtml>

38 <http://physics911.net/georgenelson.htm>

On the day itself it is strange that American Airlines only at 11:18 reports its planes missed while United Airlines follows 8 minutes later. The jetfighters from Otis were possibly on the right track after the real UA175 and therefore far from New York as Pseudo-UA175 hit WTC-2. Several airports have been mentioned as possible landing places for some or all planes: **Harrisburg** (Operation Pearl) lies quite nicely in the middle; Stewart International Airport is the place, where the tracks of AA11 and UA175 seem to meet; also New York's second airport, La Guardia, has been mentioned; **McGuire Airforce Base** in Philadelphia lies close to the place where UA175 had its last radar contact before the– proclaimed – turn towards New York; and in **Cleveland**, strange planes landed and were possibly shovelled over to the adjacent NASA airport. FAA was honest enough to mention, that the flight controller, who was guiding the two planes from Boston, was the same one, who had escorted the plane from Egypt Air, which 1999 crashed into the Atlantic by Manhattan. Perhaps it was really an accident but it could also be the general test what remote control from WTC-7 could really achieve – with 9/11, you start getting suspicious about coincidences [39]. But we still miss the single witness who risks his life while telling to have seen the planes landing, or the fisher who suddenly gets debris from the missed planes in his net, may he live to tell about it.

They claimed to have found the planes' black boxes in Shanksville and Pentagon while for the first time in the history of modern aircraft they were not found at WTC (compare the wrecked computers, and we do not doubt there were two planes, only which they were). This has later been contradicted by fire-fighters in a self-publication, quoted in Philadelphia News [40].

The Unnoticed Elephant in the Room

Many people have been occupied supporting the official conspiracies theory – perhaps too many, not all support can be utilized in its favour. The former German minister Andreas von Bülow characterized the most incredible items as 'simple-minded elephant tracks', and as such shall they be dealt with here. Others are more suitably dealt with in combination with the relevant circumstances.

1. Satam Al-Suquami's passport was found, new and undamaged, one block away from the collapsed WTC. Could I please also get such a passport, resistant to airplane collapse and fire? The one I have shows rapid signs of aging from being carried around in my pocket.

2. Mohammed Atta's rented car was found outside the Logan Airport in Boston but he is photographed while passing the security area in the airport of Portland

39 <http://rigorousintuition.blogspot.com/2004/08/coincidence-theorists-guide-to-911.html>

40 <http://www.pnionline.com/dnblog/extra/archives/001139.html>

(Maine), from where he and Marwan Al-Shehhi flew to Boston. Strange also that there are two different times stamped on that video, 5:53 and 5:43. Probably in order not to be too late for the plane to Boston. See more about safety cameras recording under 4. In the car, Atta had 'forgotten' a Koran, a parting letter with final instructions to co-terrorists and flight-instructions in Arab language.

3. Atta's suitcase was not brought onboard the plane and could therefore be examined closer. It contained a video 'How to Fly Planes,' a uniform and a strange last will.

4. In July 2004, we were suddenly shown video-recordings from Washington, though without the time-stamp always found on a documentary video. This video is a combination of in- and outdoor recordings. The latter must have been taken around noon, as you can see upon the narrow shadows from the cars. This is in conflict to the information that sunrise on 9/11 in Washington was at 6:46 and the recordings should have been made 7:18, only half an hour later. As if they could not have made a better falsification in almost 3 years? However, it was not necessary, the public, as represented by the mainstream media, swallowed also this provocation of sensible mind.

Along the further description, I mention others of the strange evidences which could be presented under this headline, if there were not so many, e.g.: the claimed calls from mobile phones (most of the calls have been made but not from the planes and also not from the claimed persons), the strange miniature debris with fire-repellent colours in front of Pentagon, bin Laden's falsely translated 'confession-video' and later revivals of this ghost with varying actors, the severely burned passengers' DNA-analyses (such are in fashion nowadays), and still I know that this is only a small part of what has been invested to make the story plausible. You may doubt the intelligence of those, who continue to construct such kind of evidence (the gas station video from Pentagon must finally soon be ready for release) but to the public, who wants to believe them, they are obviously sufficient.

Who Invented Al-Qaida?

Perhaps it was George Orwell, but with the exception of its leader (another synonym of Big Brother) he did not give the enemy any name as a group. As I write this, we have been frightened of this organisation for many years and it has been given credit to all misery that happens. In Europe, one major assault (Madrid 2003) has been contributed to Al Qaida – the connection is doubtful – and with reference to terrorism threat, nearly all European countries have limited long-established civil rights (other parts of the World are much worse off). And still, it is doubtful if this organisation ever left Afghanistan or at least the Arab World. So far,

no organisation, including Al-Qaida, has taken responsibility of 9/11. A few Arabs and nearly 200 Israeli were arrested around 9/11; they have in common that none of them were charged. The Arabs are still in jail while the Israeli were brought home within a short time. There are obviously no hot tracks for FBI to follow.

Let us instead concentrate upon the single person, who is now considered the general root to all-evil, **Osama Bin Laden**. He is real (at least, he was in 2001), and he may have another property in common with **Emanuel Goldstein** in Orwell's '1984', being both created and defended by the powerful clan behind the New World Order. He was so vivid that five different Osamas have appeared on confession videos. The World needs an enemy and so much the better if you can create and control your enemy. With a slightly modified quotation from Marx Brothers: "*With this kind of enemies, you don't need any friends.*"

The close ties between Bush and the family of Bin Laden does not prove anything. It is quite possible that Osama is the black sheep of his family, with whom the others will have nothing to do. But then, what was he doing in July 2001 in a hospital in Qatar, and why did he receive a visit from an American CIA-agent? This is difficult to understand when you consider that Bin Laden was claimed to have caused the severe assaults on the American embassies in Nairobi and Dar-Es-Salaam in 1998 and since 1999 was listed in a prominent position on FBI's list of wanted persons. But if he, as Emanuel Goldstein, really played the double task as super-villain and conspirator, why did he not admit that he was behind 9/11? That is the least you can demand in a conspiracy.

Official sources claimed on December 14, 2001, that he had made a confession in a videotape. Six days later, a German state-television (ARD) brought an 'alternative' translation of three orientalists, who sharply contradicted the official version [41]. The Osama-figure in the crucial videotape is not the one we know from other appearances of Bin Laden, on the other hand, the tape contains not the shadow of a confession. It was mentioned that the tape was several years older [42] and what was discussed here was dealing with matters from Saudi Arabia; it had nothing to do with New York.

Osama has long had a connection to CIA. They supported his organisation (some meant it was called Al-Qaida, others consider that a modern invention), which was a social connecting point for Arabs, who were assisting the Afghani Mujaheddin in their fight against Russia. That was then explaining the interest of CIA in Osama bin Laden. In these circles, however, lasting friendships is rare.

After the American's initially successful Afghanistan adventure, Bin Laden retracted with many Taliban fighters to the caves of Tora Bora, at the border to

41 <http://www.spiegel.de/politik/ausland/0,1518,174025,00.html>

42 <http://www.whatreallyhappened.com/osamatape.html>

Pakistan. Suddenly, as the American troops were ready for the final fight, they were ordered to stop. Two helicopters were permitted to land in the area and for the commoners, a corridor was kept open to Pakistan. What exactly happened is not clear, perhaps the helicopter in reality contained family who had come to the funeral of Osama. Here, at least, we lose his track forever. Afterwards, it was not particularly difficult to conquer Tora Bora.

The comparison with Goldstein appeared only 8 days after 9/11, when William L. Anderson [43] wrote: *"Orwell ... understood the nature of totalitarianism, and he understood how governments constantly work to deceive those they govern. Like those hapless citizens of Oceania, Americans have seen one Goldstein after another flash across our television screens. Unless we re-examine how our government acts abroad – and fails to protect us at home – we shall see even more Goldsteins in the future, and they shall continue to kill us and strike fear into our hearts."*

I am not able to classify Osama bin Laden. Is he a victim or a culprit, and in the latter case, a single- or double-culprit? Is he still alive or did he, as informed in an Egyptian newspaper, die on December 16, 2001 at Tora Bora [44]? Osama was too useful to the regime to let a simple thing like death get in the way, so Fox News dropped the story (but forgot to orwellize this webpage) [45]. It is difficult to imagine that a dialysis dependant patient can survive the fresh life in the field for long. However, it is also possible that he is now pensioned, has shaved off the long beard and regularly plays golf with Jeb Bush in Florida. The Bin Laden trail has 'gone cold' – but probably along with Bin Laden!

General about Transponders

On the normal radar, an aeroplane reflects a small signal (blip), but you can hardly recognize what is behind that blip, nor can you make any statements about the plane's height or speed. Over the Northeast-American airspace, it is justified to talk about a sky full of bright stars. What makes it less desperate is, that all planes are marked with a transponder, which sends an answer back when noting the radar beam. This enables the ground to identify which plane we are dealing with, its height, speed, direction and further data.

Transponder codes are distributed to all planes in an air control-zone at random. The only criteria are, that two planes should not have the same number and certain numbers are kept free. It happens inevitably that a plane enters

43 <http://www.lewrockwell.com/anderson/anderson42.html>

44 Note of Osama bin-Laden's funeral in the egyptian magazine al-Wafd, Wednesday, December 26, 2001 Vol 15 No 4633

45 <http://www.foxnews.com/story/0,2933,41576,00.html>

airspace with a transponder code that is already in use by another plane. In that case, the pilot is asked to change the code for another four-digit number. He can also simply turn it off (against all rules), but then they have a big problem at the ground.

Where were the planes as the transponders were turned off? It was perhaps impossible to analyse, if you had not known where AA11, UA175, AA77 and UA93 (in the mentioned rank of order) allegedly had their dramatical 'touch down.' The official sources report very different times for the absence of transponder signals, i.e. when the planes could be regarded as kidnapped. Even this essential information must be regarded with great caution.

That AA11 was heading for New York was only known when it hit the North Tower. Before that, it must have flown over a nuclear power station without releasing any precautions or alarm. Even *after* UA175 hit the South Tower, there were 34 min. left to follow AA77 on its route to Washington, without leading to any precautions. Who kept an eye with this plane, now that its transponder had been turned off and already two hijacked planes had been used as weapons?

It was essential that the transponders were turned of (also a remote action?). It does not tell whether it was the mentioned planes that hit the known targets – rather, it conceals there whereabouts. What, then, happened to the original planes?

They may have landed some place. The passenger has not expected anything evil. Possibly, all passengers of the four planes, their crews and 8-12 of the alleged hijackers have been brought aboard one of the planes – there was ample space – where after this the same or following night, where the airspace was closed except for escaping Arabs [46], by remote control was brought down over one of the oceans (not near to any big vessels). It is, of course, a hypothesis but better than the official story. There is quite a lot of evidence that not the original planes were used as weapons. What the last two are concerned, I am absolutely sure whereas I still have my doubts concerning the first ones.

Remote Control

For more than 25 years, it has been possible to fly aeroplanes by complete remote control, including start and landing. This technique has been developed in different, predominantly military directions. It has, however, also been possible to utilize remote control in a way, that complete control can be gained from the ground (or another aircraft) without any interference made possible for the cabin. In his article

from October 2001 [47], Joe Viall designated this system 'Home-Run' (the real name of this concept is kept secret). When the pilot in an American Boeing 757 or 767 selects 7700 on the transponder, this is not only a message that the plane has been or is about to be hijacked, it is also a submission of any further steering of the plane to controllers at the ground. Some European airlines refused to adopt this system after protest from their pilots.

The 'hijacker-code' was, of course, secret until shortly after the attack, when anonymous sources revealed it to engaged authors. It has possibly been changed by now. Joe Viall dares not betray, where to find the system, but he explains, how he would select a complex route from Atlanta to Kuala Lumpur in order to avoid it. It is interesting that he is not afraid of Boeing 737 and 777 and he trusts Lufthansa, among some other airlines.

It has then been tried to explain that the planes may have been remotely controlled to hit their targets. This could explain some incredible items, such as how the small (when seen from above) targets could have been hit, in particular when managed by bloody amateurs – even professional pilots would have their difficulties, as the Egyptian President, Hosni Mubarak, a former jetfighter pilot, commented on the very day 9/11. 'Home-Run' is produced by the firm **Raytheon** and by one of the coincidences that this day was so rich in, there were six engineers from Raytheon in three of the four disappeared planes. But what were they doing there? Perhaps the explanation can be found with Raytheon's compete.

This firm, **Systems Planning Corporation**, has a remote control system, the name of which is at least not a secret: *Flight Termination System [FTS]* [48]. This demands a device of a considerable size, which is installed under the wing of the plane. Such may be assumed seen on a picture from the plane that hit the South Tower of WTC, while there is no official picture of the plane that hit Pentagon.

The Chief of Systems Planning Corporation was previously Rabbi Dov Zakheim, one of the co-authors on the prophetic proclamation in the 'New Pearl Harbor.' As mentioned above, he was already in March 2001 promoted to a position as undersecretary and comptroller in Pentagon, a job he left 3 years later without giving any reason (leaving an unexplained deficit behind). One of the daughter-companies, Tridata, had led the investigations of the first WTC assault in 1993.

One can imagine that there was a so-called 'hot-spot' both in New York and in Washington, regardless which type of plane or missile was steered towards them. AA11 or Pseudo-AA11 flew just over WTC-7 before it hit WTC-1. UA175 or Pseudo-UA175 just flew over the Atlantic before it, perhaps too early, was turned in direction of WTC-7, with WTC-2 being in-between. It hit a bit awkward so that its

47 http://www.serendipity.li/wot/home_run.htm

48 <http://www.sysplan.com/Radar/FTS>

fuel largely exploded outside the tower but you need exercise for all, next time shall be better.

It is possible that both systems were in use on 9/11, 'Home-Run' as an aid to force landing of the four planes (the purpose of the Raytheon engineer's presence?) and FTS to guide the planes against their target. And then you must remember, we are dealing with staged attack; WTC-1 and -2 did not collapse because they were hit by planes, our simple logic only lets us assume this connection right away.

Another ghost among the roomers of 9/11 need concern, the old and propeller-driven military working-horse C-130. Some will know that this (at least two different planes) played an important role. It demands a particularly energy-demanding system to take over the plane on a distance, even if the *Home-Run* systems have been manipulated in advance. A C-130 can fly little more than half as fast as a jet, but a jet can fly as slow as a C-130. Such a plane took off from Andrews AFB in Washington only one minute before Pseudo-AA77 hit Pentagon (thereby serving as an alibi in that event), but it was only 17 miles away as Pseudo-UA93 made the hole in the earth in Shanksville. Also the planes from Boston should have encountered one or two C-130ies.

What, then, can have happened to the four planes and their crew and passengers? It is possible that remote control was also involved here. The North American airspace was closed for several days, enabling certain activities. Perhaps it is really true that Mohammed Atta has utilized some occasion to call his father on September 12. The following night, the planes may have been discarded over the Atlantic or Pacific Ocean at some place, void of ships nearby. Considering the brutality, employed by the architects of 9/11 elsewhere, it is highly improbable that any of the passengers or staff members can have survived, except perhaps some key-persons. "Yes, your honour, it was no spontaneous action" – shall we live to hear that in a trial of the real criminals?

A last aspect deserves mention: If '*home-run*' was installed on all four planes, why was it not utilized to save those on board from the alleged hijackers?

Timeline

Timeline refers to the timely rank of order of the events. Thereby is visualised what happened – or rather did not happen in the ample time available for a reaction – in the 'Attack on America.' In spite of utmost demands to documentation in these areas, there is a considerable discrepancy for some of the mentioned crucial times: When exactly did NORAD learn about the hijacked planes (among the many others in their exercise), when did they scramble the planes from Otis (which were never

seen in New York) and Langley (which first flew to New York and only secondarily to Washington; in 2004, they were claimed not to be scrambled at all)?

•7:59:	AA 11 lifts off from Boston
•8:14:	UA 175 lifts off from Boston
•8:20:	AA 11 transponder signal stops
•8:20:	AA 77 lifts off from Washington D.C.
•8:25:	Boston notifies a hijack is in progress
•8:38:	Boston notifies NORAD
•8:42:	UA 93 lifts off from Newark, delayed 42 min
•8:43:	FAA notifies NORAD, UA 175 hijacked
•8:46:	NORAD orders 2 F15 from Otis
•8:46:	AA 11 hits north side of North Tower
•8:49:	CNN sends live from ready camera.
•8:55:	FAA loses contact with AA 77
•8:55/9:26:	AA 77: Barbara Olson's alleged 2 calls
•8:59:	AA 77 heading back to Washington
•9:03:	UA 175 hits south side of South Tower F-15's from Otis are still 71 miles away
•9:00:	FAA warn all airliners of hijacking
•9:08:	FAA closes New York area airspace
•9:10-20:	United Airlines Flight 93 hijacked.
•9:24:	NORAD orders 3 F16 from Langley
•9:28:	Strange voices recorded from UA 93
•9:30:	3 F-16 take off Langley toward NYC
•9:35:	UA 93 goes off course near Cleveland
•9:37:	AA 77 hits western side of Pentagon
•9:42:	"Mom, this is Mark Bingham"
•9:45-58:	Todd Beamer "Let's roll"
•9:49:	Langley's F-16's arrive over Washington
•9:59:	South tower (WTC-2) collapses
•10:06:	UA 93 crashes near Shanksville, PA
•10:29:	North tower (WTC) collapses
•11:08:	Bush: 'We think it's Usama bin Laden'
•11:17:	CNN shows picture of bin Laden
•11:18:	American Airlines reports 2 planes missed
•11:26:	United Airlines reports UA175 missed and UA93 crashed
•16:10:	WTC-7 starts burning vividly
•16:54:	BBC reports that WTC-7 collapsed
•17:20:	WTC-7 collapses

Timeline of real and imagined events

C. World Trade Center (WTC)

World Trade Center (**WTC**) consisted of three skyscrapers (1, 2 and 7) and four other buildings that in New York do not deserve that designation, at least not in Manhattan. The planes that hit the towers are said to be AA11 and UA175, which both had started from Boston. History would know that two stewardesses had called American Airlines and talked over air phones. The first speech is quoted on September 21, 2001, the other only on January 25, 2004.

Betty Ong og Amy Sweeney

AA11 took off from Boston at 07:59 and should have been reported hijacked 08:13. At 08:46 the North Tower was hit. Until January 25, 2004 no witness' statement from the planes had been accessible, but already the day after the attack, and thus before the press subdued to the strong censorship of the "war against terrorism," Washington Post wrote that the flight traffic controller until the collision had not noticed any deviating course and no spectacular communication had been reported to the tower. From NORAD was reported that the plane's transponder was turned off at 08:20, but before, nothing spectacular had been noticed.

From AA11 originates the stewardess **Betty Ong's** phone call. She called American Airlines at 08:20 and reported to Nydia Gonzalez and Vanessa Minter about terrible occurrences. The force of prove is diminished by being made public only in January 2004, and only the 4 kept minutes (out of a duration of 23 min.) were played off in front of the White-Wash-Commission. A 'positive' part is, that it does not absolutely support the official robber's story. It [I better not say 'she'] mentions that two pilots have been stabbed, but only 2-3 hijackers had disappeared into the cockpit and there was teargas in the cabin. Particular interesting is what she did not mention: no hijackers in the cabin to keep the passengers calm and prevent her from using an air phone in the seat; and there was no mention of a sudden change of the course.

AA11 was at 08:40 (as NORAD received the report from FAA about a possible hijacking) observed in 29.000 feet height of US Airlines 589 – on its expected course. Thereafter, no observations have been made. According to that statement, it is impossible that it could be in New York 6 min. later. Indirectly, this explains why there were no jets from Otis seen later in New York; they were probably hunting the real plane and thereby 77 miles away when Pseudo-UA175 hit WTC-2.

The other stewardess, **Madeline Amy Sweeney**, was quoted already on September 21, 2001 [49]. She should have called at 08:22 and was two minutes later connected to Michael Woodward. The conversation should have lasted 12 minutes, later enlarged to 25. In 2001, there was no talk of her colleague Ong, who should have called to the same place. Here was reported: *"Sweeney apparently only saw four of the five men. All four were Middle Eastern, Sweeney told Woodward. Three of them, she said, were sitting in business class, and "one spoke English very well."* When she was asked, if she knew where she was, she said, *"I see water and buildings. Oh my God! Oh my God!"*. Add to this a dry comment: *"But the REAL world of actual airline stewardess has people, not cartoon dumb blondes. They KNOW what New York looks like from the air..."*

As usual had the energetic plain-cloth men from FBI hurried up to confiscate the tapes from American Airlines and forbidden its employees to talk about them. Mrs. Sweeney's talk with Mr. Woodward was not taped. Both stewardesses reported first that they called from AA12, which had not yet started. They rapidly corrected this mistake to AA11. Mrs. Ong called from the middle, Mrs. Sweeney from the back of the plane. One could figure that it was enough for one of them to keep a long conversation in the phone, there was enough for the rest of the staff to do with the wounded or murdered pilots and the passengers in panic. But it is obvious that while Mrs. Sweeney is the hero from 2001, it has in 2004 turned to be Mrs. Ong. Orwell knew it: history may change, as we need it.

You can believe, the real stewardesses have spoken to nobody on the ground. It is possible that some persons in American Airlines headquarter have talked to some women, who have pretended to be the mentioned stewardesses, but why, then, did they not transfer the alarm? After all the complex troubles in preparing 9/11, it is almost sad to witness these amateurish evidences.

Controlled Demolition of WTC-1 and -2

The North Tower (WTC-1, the one with the antenna) was hit by a plane at 08:46 in 93-98 floors and it collapsed 88 min later. The South Tower (WTC-2) was hit at 09:03 in 78-80 floors and collapsed only 56 min. later although it was hit more tangentially and most of the fuel exploded outside the tower. At the beginning of the collapse, the tip seemed to crack, but thanks to the rapid disappearance of underlying structures, 'they' succeeded to right it five degrees back. In WTC-1, it can be seen in the video recordings that the antenna starts going down before the underlying structure collapses.

According to the official explanation, the steel of the supporting middle part was melting and thus precipitated the towers' collapse. For several reasons, this cannot be the case. Beginning with a historical consideration, steel-skyscrapers have existed for more than 100 years, and from time to time, there has been a fire in them, and a couple have even been hit by planes, but never was that a reason for collapse – except for on 9/11, and then there were three. In 1975, there had been a terrible fire on the 11th floor of WTC-1. This fire spread to 6 floors but was successfully extinguished after 3 hours [50,51].

Should the steel really have weakened in the heat and the overlying weight cause the collapse, the one floor may have collapsed successively upon the other. It

50 http://www.serendipity.li/wot/other_fires/other_fires.htm

51 http://www.prisonplanet.com/Pages/Apr_05/100405_WTC_Fire.html

happened differently, as you can see at the videos available at the net [52,53, 54,55,56,57,58,59,60,61,62]. They are not very big, because it only lasted 10-15 seconds. For comparison, let us consider how long time a stone, thrown out from the roof of one of the 411 meter high towers, would need to hit the ground. The formula $\frac{1}{2} g t^2$ (if valid also in New York) yields $\sim 9,2$ sec. The towers fell as were they dissolved in thin air without any braking resistance. Besides: kerosene burns with a temperature lower than necessary to melt the steel.

The fire-fighters in the South Tower reached under the command of Orio Palmer and Ron Bucca up to the 78th floor, from where they required enforcement. They did not mention any 1300°C warm fire, that could melt the steel (see later), but a couple of minutes later, the tower collapsed, as we have all seen repetitively in unforgettable recordings.

There were only 8,900 persons in WTC-1 and 8,500 in WTC-2 when the first plane hit, and the jet fuel itself disappeared within the first few minutes [63]. Several of the survivors, who had left the towers in the last phase, reported of tremendous explosions [64,65]. That such have occurred *initially* of the collapse of both towers is proven by the seismological registrations from Palisades, 34 km from Southern Manhattan [66].

The emergency plan called for use of helicopters to put out the fire and evacuate occupants from the roof [67]. No such helicopters were seen.

And then there is another consequence of the explosions, which had some immediate and will have long-acting effect: the **clouds of dust**. Mostly steel structures fell to what was soon to be called 'Ground Zero,' but not many pieces of concrete. These dust clouds is an indirect evidence of serious explosions in the towers; when piece of concrete falls down it goes to peaces, not to dust. In several of the ongoing months, they produced the so-called 'WTC-cough' (WTC-Syndrome). Four thousand fire-fighters worked in the first two weeks only protected by simple paper masks while the police immediately used virtual gasmasks and similar

52 http://911.justiceforwoody.org/videos/docs/south_tower_collapse.mpeg

53 http://911.justiceforwoody.org/videos/docs/wtc2_demolition_waves.mpg

54 http://911.justiceforwoody.org/videos/docs/wtc2_from_south_a.mpg

55 http://911.justiceforwoody.org/videos/docs/s_tower_bang.mpeg

56 http://911.justiceforwoody.org/videos/docs/collapses_abc1.mpeg

57 http://911.justiceforwoody.org/videos/docs/north_tower_collapse.mpeg

58 http://911.justiceforwoody.org/videos/docs/squibs_and_streamers.mov

59 http://911.justiceforwoody.org/videos/docs/tower1_dust_cloud_afterglow.mpg

60 http://911.justiceforwoody.org/videos/docs/wtc1_jets.mpeg

61 http://ontario.indymedia.org/local/webcast/uploads/wtc-1_jets.mpeg

62 http://www.plaguepuppy.net/public_html/collapse%20update/

63 <http://www.nytimes.com/2005/04/05/nyregion/05cnd-wtc.html?hp&ex=1112760000&en=0b9c387f12dcd8&ei=5094&partner=homepage>

64 <http://www.bcrevolution.ca/bombs.htm>

65 http://www.reopen911.org/Tarpley_ch_6.pdf

66 http://911research.wtc7.net/mirrors/guardian/WTC/Seismic/WTC_LDEO_KIM.htm

67 <http://www.mesothelioma-asbestosis.info/Asbestos-Exposure-Issues-at-WTC>

protection. Since the dust contained great amounts of asbestos and benzene, it can be assumed that several of the victims of the 'WTC-cough' [68] will later develop asbestosis and the so far therapy-resistant cancer, mesothelioma [69].

It can be considered advertising for the firm, which by such a controlled demolition got the towers demolished in an area, which was not much bigger than their 'footprints.' By the way, 'Controlled Demolitions, Inc.' is also the name of the firm, it was the one that got the contract to clean up afterwards, and this firm had a similar task (probably in a double sense) by the 'Oklahoma City Bombing' in 1995. Then the building was only partly demolished and it remains buried under a layer of earth and is still being cautiously guarded. The same mistake was now avoided: All research on the spot, which might have shown remnants of explosives on the steel structures, was instantly stopped. One firm, 'Metal Management' from Newark, received the task to deal with all the steel. They were exported to India and China [70,71]. Bad conscience? This question was never posed by the media and was, of course, neither an issue of official discussion at the White-Wash-Commission. William A. Manning, Editor-in-Chief of the American 'Fire Magazine,' openly spoke of "destruction of evidence," but the mainstream media remained deaf to the challenge.



When were these explosives placed? For the upper half of the South Tower, a witness may pose the answer: *"On the weekend of 9/8,9/9 there was a 'power down' condition in WTC tower 2, the south tower. This power down condition meant there was no electrical supply for ~36 hrs from floor 50 up. I am aware of this situation since I work in IT and had to work with many others that weekend to ensure that all systems were cleanly shutdown beforehand ... and then brought back up afterwards. The reason given by the WTC for the power down was that cabling in the tower was being upgraded ... "* [72].

Several videos can be loaded down from the Internet (referred above). They have all been photographed from a considerable distance (WTC was enormous) and

-
- 68 http://www.usatoday.com/news/health/2002-09-09-cough_x.htm?tmpl=story&u=/usatoday/20020910/en_usatoday/4432326
 69 http://www.alliance-information.com/Legal/asbestos_attorney.htm
 70 <http://www.china.org.cn/english/2002/Jan/25776.htm>
 71 <http://www.corpwatch.org/article.php?id=1608>
 72 <http://sf.indymedia.org/news/2004/04/1691636.php>

are difficult to evaluate, but even without technical foreknowledge, one can see that the towers were rushing down in a matter of a few seconds. Just this fact, and the massive production of dust over all of the Southern Manhattan, is the crucial hallmarks of a cautiously prepared explosive demolition. But a much better impression of that is given by the three videos, showing WTC-7 collapse at a nearer distance. You notice how the building disintegrates from the top to the bottom.

The official explanation at the collapse is, that the supporting steel core almost melted at the fire. Kerosene burns at 1000° C – but how much of it burns how long? Exactly the South Tower, where less of it exploded inside the building, collapsed first. The fire-fighters reached the place (faster than expected?) without complaining of the heat [73]: *"The New York Times recently revealed the existence of the tape of radio transmissions between firefighters ... which proves that at least two men had reached the 78th floor Sky Lobby of the South Tower. The firefighters had reported about the fires and casualties they encountered and had begun evacuating the survivors. ... Once they got there, they had a coherent plan for putting out the fires they could see and helping victims who survived. ... The voices of the firefighters showed no panic, no sense that events were racing beyond their control. At that point, the building would be standing for just a few more minutes ... Even so, Chief [Orio J.] Palmer could see only two pockets of fire, and called for a pair of engine companies to fight them."* The other one, who by the stairs had reached the 78th floor, was Fire Marshal Ronald P. Bucca. It is difficult to connect these statements to a disastrous fire, by which the structures of the tower were melted:

Battalion Seven Chief: "Battalion Seven ... Ladder 15, we've got two isolated pockets of fire. We should be able to knock it down with two lines. Radio that, 78th floor numerous 10-45 Code Ones."

Ladder 15: "Chief, what stair you in?"

Battalion Seven Chief: "South stairway Adam, South Tower."

Ladder 15: "Floor 78?"

Battalion Seven Chief: "Ten-four, numerous civilians, we gonna need two engines up here."

Battalion Seven Chief: "Tower one. Battalion Seven to Ladder 15."

"Fifteen."

Battalion Seven Chief: "I'm going to need two of your firefighters Adam stairway to knock down two fires. We have a house line stretched we could use some water on it, knock it down, kay."

Ladder 15: "Alright ten-four, we're coming up the stairs. We're on 77 now in the B stair, I'll be right to you."

Battalion Seven Operations Tower One: "Battalion Seven Operations Tower One to Battalion Nine, need you on floor above 79. We have access stairs going up to 79, kay."

Battalion Nine: "Alright, I'm on my way up Orio."



An enlarged photo [74] shows a woman, standing by the hole that a plane had made in the North Tower. In 1988, one of WTC's architects had stated that the building could stand temperatures of up to 2000° C. One can imagine that the replacement for asbestos in the South Tower did not keep its promises but the

way, the Towers were put down, in connection with the financial transactions and the scandalous destruction of evidences do speak a clear language of man-made misery.

These witness' statements from fire-fighters cannot be found in the newspapers. You have to search for them in the Internet [75]: "... *What did we do? We made it outside, we made it about a block... ---We made it at least two blocks and we started running. ---(makes explosion sounds and chopping hand motions) - --Floor by floor it started popping out... ---It was as if they had detonated - as if they were planning to take down a building, boom boom boom boom boom... --- ...all the way down. I was watching it and running.*" Firefighters Ladder 1, Engine 7, NYFD.

After 9/11, serious fires appeared in skyscrapers in Caracas, Taipei and Madrid. The latter burned ferociously for days, none of them collapsed.

WTC-7 – the Headquarter of 9/11 ?

As mentioned above, Larry Silverstein had owned the 47-floor high office building for two years. The building was not hit by any plane and nobody really paid attention to it, not even by a minor fire on the 11th floor – everybody were concerned with the two towers or what was left of them and the nearer complexes

74 http://www.911-strike.com/demolition_explosive.htm

75 <http://home.comcast.net/~jeffrey.king2/wsb/html/view.cgi-home.html>

WTC-3 and -6. Suddenly, 10 min past 4 p.m. the fire blew up and at 17:20, it all collapsed in 6,5 seconds.

There are four reasons to deal intensively with WTC-7: 1. This collapse was obviously a consequence of a controlled demolition [76]; 2. it was well covered by the insurance for Mr. Silverstein; 3. possibly the building was the headquarter for the crimes of the day; and 4. it may have hosted a hot spot for remotely controlled planes towards WTC 1 & 2.

Three videos are available at the Internet. I find the one most interesting where you only see the upper part, but there clearly recognizes how the building was practically dissolved – from the top to the bottom (of what is visualized) [77]. Other videos: [78,79]. Similar to the WTC, all tracks after the crimes were destroyed, investigation forbidden and the steel exported. The demolition did not essentially damage surrounding houses; WTC-7 fell together in its footprint.

Largely unnoticed came one year after **Silverstein's partial confession**: "*I remember getting a call from the, er, fire department commander, telling me that they were not sure they were gonna be able to contain the fire, and I said, "We've had such terrible loss of life, maybe the smartest thing to do is pull it." And they made that decision to pull and we watched the building collapse*" [80]. An alert press would have asked, how a demolition from inside a burning building could have been made so fast and precise, how the explosives were transported into the burning building, who had the honour of this demolition masterpiece, what exactly was the reason to pull it down and so on. Instead of that, this unlucky statement has been ignored and the report of FEMA in 2003 knows nothing about it.

Why should WTC-7 be destroyed, was it also built with asbestos or was it containing troublesome evidence? I don't know but the building contains other peculiarities. Have you ever heard about another office building, in which the lower floors contain an enormous deposit (36.000 ton) of diesel oil? Among the residents was Securities Exchange Commission [**SEC**] where the first fires emerged, CIA, Department of Defense [**DOD**], Internal Revenue Service [**IRS**] and New York's Office of Emergency Management [**OEM**]. The latter was the mayor's secret central of command, which filled up the entire 23rd floor. It is not known what they were doing before 9/11. After the attack, the mayor (Rudolph Giuliani) did establish his headquarter there for a brief period of time. However, already before the collapse of the first tower, he was ordered out (see Warnings). They forgot to tell it to 343

76 <http://www.wtc7.net/demolition.html> ;Scott Loughrey: WTC-7: The Improbable Collapse. <http://globalresearch.ca/articles/LOU308A.html>

77 http://www.wtc7.net/docs/wtc7_collapse2.mpg: video broadcast on CBS

78 http://www.wtc7.net/docs/wtc7_collapse.mpg

79 http://www.wtc7.net/docs/wtc_7_cbs.mpg

80 PBS documentary: 'America Rebuilds,' Sept. 2002

firefighters in the South Tower. At 21:54 GMT on 9/11 the BBC announced that WTC 7 had collapsed. There was just one problem with this news: WTC 7 did not collapse until 22:20 GMT [81], and then in less than 7 seconds, as dissolved in thin air.

In 2009, Niels Harrit and coworkers demonstrated high-grade explosive nanothermite in the ashes from WTC-7. The official counterargument that this was just paint can be eliminated by its chemical properties and content.

In February 2002, Silverstein Properties was granted an insurance of \$861 million from Industrial Risk Insurers. The price he paid for the building in 1999 was \$386 million. Not counting the expenses for the demolition, this speculation yielded him almost half a billion \$. Perhaps you can also here add the savings of not being forced to dismantle an asbestos-infested skyscraper.

WTC-6 and WTC-3

The interesting aspect of **WTC-6** is that the roof exploded *simultaneously* with the initial demolition of WTC-2. This is beautifully illustrated by photos and there is no sensible explanation to it. It seems plausible that also this should have collapsed but the technique failed, so it was demolished to the following day.

Marriott Hotel (WTC-3) was seriously damaged because the South Tower did not go down so smooth as could later be illustrated with the North Tower. Also this building was demolished the following day.

The Dust Diseases

The explosions were so massive that the towers and most of their contents were transformed to dust and almost only the steel dropped to the ground. Many videos show the clouds shooting through the streets of Manhattan. A quantitative measure for the phenomenon was given by an expert: The rubble after a demolition normally contributes ~12% of the building's height. Although the towers miraculously collapsed to their footprints, this did not exceed the third floor of WTC 1 & 2 [82]. Knowing where most of the concrete went, I cannot help speculating what the mourning relatives actually were burying.

Apart from the immediate victims, an unknown amount of New Yorkers was **exposed to poisonous and carcinogenic waste**, mostly the rescue staff working at ground zero. By January 2002, 300 fire fighters were on leave for respiratory

81 http://www.whatreallyhappened.com/bbc_wtc7_videos.html

82 <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=HIW8Gf9punE>

problems [83]. On that background, the following report is inhuman and incomprehensible: Congressional budget negotiators have decided to take back \$125 million in 9/11 aid from New York, which had fought to keep the money to treat sick and injured ground zero workers [84].

An attorney representing more than 5,000 plaintiffs suing those who supervised the cleanup over their illnesses said 21 of his clients have died of Sept. 11-related diseases since the middle of 2004. "Many, many more people are going to die from the aftermath of the toxicity" [85]. The Daily Mail reported in 2010, "Few people know that the tragedy left a toxic legacy that will claim more lives than those lost on the day itself [9/11] - already recorded 817 deaths of WTC responders from illnesses generated by working on the site ... 410,000 people were heavily exposed to WTC toxins causing restrictive respiratory illnesses and cancers" [86]. Up to 70% of first responders are ill as a result of 9/11 contamination. If a similar rate of illness holds true for those who lived and worked near the Twin Towers, the number of seriously ill New Yorkers could climb to 300,000 in the near future [87].

One of the fire fighters, Yehuda Kaploun, had kept his dusty shirt in a plastic bag as a personal memory of the day. In 2006, the dust was chemically analysed: the level of asbestos was 93,000 times higher than permitted. It was also saturated with toxic levels of zinc, mercury, antimony, barium, chromium, cobalt, copper, lead and molybdenum. More than a million tonnes of dust containing chemicals and 400,000 tonnes of asbestos choked the skies after the collapse of WTC. Yehuda, who spent two days at Ground Zero, said: "I saw thousands of people covered in the dust. We were told there was no danger" [88]. Exactly this statement of 'no-danger' was commented in another court-suit: Federal judge called former EPA chief Whitman "conscience-shocking" for assuring the air was safe around ground zero and refused to grant him immunity against a class-action lawsuit brought in 2004 by residents, students and workers in lower Manhattan and Brooklyn who said they were exposed to hazardous materials from the destruction of the WTC [89].

In July 2007 it was reported that WTC-Lawyer James Tyrrell, nicknamed the 'devil's advocate' or 'master of disaster' has sucked \$47 mio. out of the \$1 bio WTC insurance fund, money that should go to 10,000 cops, firefighters and other workers with illnesses blamed on toxic exposure at Ground Zero. It has paid just

83 http://english.ohmynews.com/ArticleView/article_view.asp?menu=A11100&no=251329&rel_no=1&back_url

84 http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2005/11/15/911-aid-for-ground-zero- n_10709.html

85 <http://www.nynewsday.com/news/local/manhattan/ny-bc-ny--attacks-dyingwork0113jan13,0,6104221.story?coll=nyc-homepage-breaking2>

86 <http://www.dailymail.co.uk/home/you/article-1306032/9-11s-Toxic-time-bomb.html>

87 <http://discovermagazine.com/2007/oct/the-9-11-cover-up/?searchterm=the%209/11%20cover%20up>

88 http://www.mirror.co.uk/news/tm_objectid=16955598&method=full&siteid=94762&headline=deadliest-shirt-in-the-world--name_page.html

89 http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2006/02/03/federal-judge-calls-fmr- n_15039.html

\$45,000 to a carpenter who fell of a ladder [90]. Pulmonary fibrosis, various respiratory malignancies and even sarcoidosis is looming over the helpers.

Janette McKinlay's apartment in NY, near WTC, was covered in dust on 9/11,



making herself chronically ill (respiratory distress) from the inhalation of toxic substances. She died from a brain tumour on Dec. 9, 2010. From her apartment originates one of the samples exposing nanotermites in WTC-dust [91].

And then, the exposure is still present. The building owned by Deutsche Bank, near Ground Zero, should be demolished and first the roof be cleaned. However, areas on the roof of the 40-story building, which the state said had already been cleaned, still contained undetermined amounts of asbestos-laden dust in April 2006. Demolition was therefore halted; two executives of the firm running the cleanup previously worked at a firm under investigation for mob ties and serious safety violations. The firm itself, John Galt Co., has no previous experience bringing down contaminated skyscrapers [92]. What this building has received indirectly yields an impression of, what was really contained in the dust clouds over Manhattan; in the end, the number of the immediate victims in the collapsing skyscrapers may pose as the smaller figure.

The Victims in WTC

In the two towers, some 50,000 people were occupied and 140,000 visited them in the course of a day. At first, it was expected that there were 30,000 victims in New York. Also today, it is not known exactly how many disappeared (and seen from Europe, the exact number is not essential). The figures have been down regulated several times and various sources utilize different measures. The majority of the victims were to be found in the North Tower. This is not quite logical, it collapsed 88 minutes after the attack, contrary to the South Tower's 56 minutes; moreover, it was hit higher but the fire was fiercer.

90 NY Post

91 <http://911blogger.com/topics/janette-mackinlay>

92 <http://www.nydailynews.com/news/local/story/412428p-348795c.html>

American Flight 11	87	One person is missed from the 3 rd skyscraper: " <i>When [WTC-7] came down on Sept. 11, an agent on loan from Washington, special officer Craig Miller, perished, and the entire Secret Service office was buried in that building.</i> "
United Flight 175	60	
American Flight 77	59	
United Flight 93	40	
Pentagon (on the ground)	125	
WTC-2	599	
Firefighters	343	
WTC-1	1432	
WTC-7	1	
Alibi-Hijackers (estimated)	11	
Total	2757	The 19 'hijackers' is an unfounded claim of the FBI. Between 8 and 12 of the 19 Arabs have disappeared. Whether passengers and staff from the four planes were killed instantly or

later is not essential when counting the victims – it insults my phantasy to regard them still to be alive, thus confirming the frame-up with their presence.

I have spent a lot of time to find out, if the 343 fire-fighters can all be counted with WTC-2. The information is sparse and contradictory, probably there were also police officers and rescue team. The survivors have been badly treated after 9/11 – including the badly protected helpers at 'Ground Zero'. Although the collected amount of victims is not exact, it is a large number of lives that were extinguished by this crime. Those responsible have not been punished.

D. Pentagon

American Airlines AA77 took off at 8:20 from Dulles Airport in Washington. At 08:55, FAA lost contact with the plane, and simultaneously, Barbara Olsson's first conversation is reported. At 09:37, 42 minutes later, a flying object hit the backside of Pentagon, in an area, which outer ring was under renovation.

It is not known what hit Pentagon. It is easier to say, what did not hit, i.e. a Boeing 757. Some shocked witnesses had suddenly heard a plane roar over their heads. According to the official story, the master-pilot must have lost 7,500 feet in 2½ minutes (a most impressive experience), while he simultaneously set into a sharp curve over the Arlington cemetery – and the name of the master is Hani Hanjour, whom they were afraid to let fly a Cessna alone. In a flat angle, the plane hit Pentagon a few meters over the ground without damaging the lawn. It did, however, clip off some lamp poles, which permits an estimate of the wings to about 95-100 feet broadness (Boeing 757: 125 feet = 38 meter).

Fortunately, the attack was filmed, among others from a gasoline tank vis-à-vis Pentagon. Just a few minutes after the attack, FBI-agents rushed in and

confiscated the videotape, which the owner had not offered any thoughts yet. It is not everyday that a plane drops down by the neighbour. There was another video-surveillance camera on the roof of the adjacent Sheraton hotel. The security agents of the hotel saw this tape again and again before the FBI also confiscated that. They have immediately been ordered to remain silent on the case, an American speciality which one cannot lightly ignore. We were not allowed to see the films at that time, and should a manipulated version finally appear after more than three years, it is as well difficult to believe, since the main suspect will produce it. The existence of at least two films, which showed what hit Pentagon, combined with the prepared confiscation of these at a time where anybody should be concerned with other actions, is a very strong evidence that these films showed something different from the authorities' official version of events. But that is by far not all.

What hit Pentagon?

To me personally, it was a website, 'Hunt the Boeing,' [93] of the French journalist Thierry Meysan that convinced me that there was something wrong at all with 9/11, as it was presented by the authorities. And if there is something wrong at one of the scenes, then there must be something wrong with the whole story. Eventually, it could be shown that there is a lot of 'wrongs' at all 9/11-scenarios.

A flying object of some sort hit the Pentagon at 09:53. This portion of the building that had already been renovated: The area struck by the plane had blast-resistant windows - 2 inches thick and 2,500 pounds each - that stayed intact during the crash and fire [94]. Almost half an hour later, part of the building collapsed but before, it was possible to identify a hole between the ground (first) and second floor with a diameter of 17-20 feet. Well, then the hole fits the cabin of a Boeing 757-200 which is only 11,5 feet in diameter, and do not forget to close the door after you.

However, a Boeing 757 also consists of the 125 feet broad wings and a high tail. On the building, there were no traces of them. The argument that Pentagon is strongly enforced would imply some wreckage parts outside. Instead, the particularly resistant Penta-Grass repelled all signs of a downed plane. Initially, the fire-fighters were wondering about this absence but later could remember to have seen (even then very few) wreckage parts - inside the building. One of the explanations to this absence is that aluminium not only is a light metal melting at only 600 °C, it even vaporises at 3,000 °C - puff, gone! So the plane is vaporised, and still it was possible to perform a DNA-analysis at all the bodies (with one

93 http://www.asile.org/citoyens/numero13/pentagone/erreurs_en.htm

94 http://whatreallyhappened.com/WRHARTICLES/911_pentagon_renovations.html

exception). At the terrorist attack at Bali in 2002, it proved impossible to make a DNA-analysis at most of the 202 victims because these were too seriously burned. Some proteins denature already at 65°C and all by 120°C for five minutes [95]. And in New York, they know that Kerosene burns under the production of 1,000 °C, talking boost against the vaporization as well as the DNA-analysis.

It is possible to state quite exactly, what the broadness of this plane was which, painted in the colours of American Airlines (according to many witnesses) hit Pentagon. Also the flat angle, in which the planes hit, can be established as a consequence of the toppled lamp poles. Then, however, the question is the same as for the Boeing hypothesis: whatever happened to this wingspan of 95-100 feet? If it was prepared to fold after having hit Pentagon, why did it not do so already when hitting the lamp poles or, alternatively, why is there no damage on the building relating to the wings?

Then there is the question, why the 'backdoor' was chosen. This part of Pentagon was under renovation – at least the outer circle, still there were 125 killed in Pentagon, mostly workers and secretaries but also 18 with military distinction. When the attack had been prepared for so long time, there would also have been time to search in Internet for a couple of minutes where the prominent have their offices, which is on the third and fourth stock at the riverside (future terrorists may notice it now). Simultaneously, it is much less complicated to fly along and over the broad Potomac River. Rumsfeld and Wolfowitz are counted among the survivors – but they were also among those who really profited from the attack.

An army officer, who survived 9/11, claims that no evacuation was ordered inside the Pentagon, despite flight controllers calling in warnings of approaching hijacked aircraft nearly 20 minutes before the building was struck [96]. Rumsfeld was so unconcerned, and unsurprised, after learning that two jet airliners had crashed into WTC in New York that he continued with regular CIA briefing [97].

I can only recommend searching for the abundance of pictures available at the Web of Pentagon after the attack, before and after the collapse of the segment. Even at the time of collapse, the lawn looks quite nice (it was covered with sand the following day, probably part of the general destruction of evidences), and the pylon to the left of the collapsed segment does not show the faintest impact of a wing. See also the funny, excellent film clip [98].

95 <http://www.giveyourvoice.com/dna-faq.html>

96 http://rawstory.com/news/2008/911_survivor_blasts_Rumsfeld_Cheney_No_1217.html

97 <http://www.rawstory.com/showoutarticle.php?src=http%3A%2F%2Fyournewreality.blogspot.com%2F2007%2F03%2FRumsfeld-deserted-his-post-on-morning.html>

98 <http://www.freedomunderground.org/memoryhole/pentagon121.swf>

Wreckage and Witnesses

One of the many things, I do not know, is when the picture of the wreckage in front of Pentagon first was shown. This was in conflict with earlier published photos that do not show any wreckage, and suddenly a certain Mark Faram claims to have taken it as he arrived at Pentagon some 12 minutes after (pseudo-)AA77. The picture should first have been shown in Navy Times (but when is not yet known by me and Faram worked for Army Times). It was showed in Le Monde (after AP) on March 23rd, 2002. Suddenly we see, half a year later, what has been missed: a wreck part (though a small one and not from the wings). Had this picture been ignored before or is it a genius photomontage?

Mark Faram writes about it on September 17th 2002: *"That was the only piece of wreckage of any SIZE that I saw, but was by no means the ONLY piece."* Another time he is quoted of having said [99]: *"all over the highway were small pieces of aircraft skin, none bigger than a half-dollar."* This mysterious picture is intensively analysed in a German web page [100].

Let us kindly assume that the wreckage was there [101]. It can, on second sight, also be seen on other pictures, including on a video from CBS, and in direction of the heliport, the lawn does look more indecent than just in front of the collision area (golf-players could not have wished it better than there). But then, the picture still does not support the existence of a Boeing at Pentagon.

Many witnesses saw a flying object roaring over their heads into Pentagon, and some of these identified it as coming from American Airlines. I am pretty sure that the 'organizers' have accounted for these witnesses and the plane or missile has indeed been painted accordingly. However, if Faram's wreck really originated from the windows of AA77, the wreck should have been about 2.5 times larger. So in any case its presentation is an indication of fraud, either as a manipulation of the picture or a manipulation of the missile.

On September 11-15, no metal parts of any importance had been found: a screen sweeper blade and reportedly the two black-boxes. Nobody had seen any wreckage, just small unidentifiable parts remained. About 100 tons of metal had disappeared, presumably vaporized!

The chief of the fire brigade in Arlington County, Ed Plougher, said the day after at a press conference: *"First of all, the question about the aircraft, there are some small pieces of aircraft visible from the interior during this fire-fighting operation I'm talking about, but not large sections. In other words, there's no*

99 <http://www.cosmicpenguin.com/911/Eastman/m7b3.html>

100 http://www.ralph-kutza.de/11_September_AA-77-Fragment/body_aa-77-fragment.html

101 <http://earth-citizens.net/>

fuselage sections and that sort of thing. ... You know, I'd rather not comment on that. We have a lot of eyewitnesses that can give you better information about what actually happened with the aircraft as it approached. So we don't know. I don't know."

Half a year later, he had recovered his memory. Now he had seen parts of the plane, its wings, motor parts and seats. Later, FBI told the grateful press that the plane had been reconstructed or partly all wreck parts at least were lying numbered at some place and all crew and passengers had been identified, which in one case was possible only using finger prints while DNA-analysis accounted for the rest.

Inside Pentagon they found an engine. Expecting this to belong to the missile is associated with another problem; Rolls Royce, which produces the engines to Boeing 757, says that it is not one of theirs [102].

An example around Mike Walter's statements illustrates how these can be manipulated in all directions. The German former news magazine, 'der Spiegel,' took up this and accused Meyssan for having quoted only the last part, that what hit Pentagon acted like a 'cruise missile.' Mr. Walter was embarrassed over this manipulation; in the meantime he had revised his impressions.

Looking into Meyssan's 'Pentagate' that has been made completely available at Internet [103], this is not a cut quotation from the time it was made first, here in Meyssan's French translation: *"Un avion, un avion d'American Airlines. J'ai pensé: Ca ne colle pas, il est vraiment bas. Et je l'ai vu. Je veux dire: c'est comme un missile de croisière avec des ailes."* It is not Meyssan but his colleague at 'der Spiegel' who manipulate the witness' statement. It is not exceptional that he later modified his statement; the instant impression is still more valuable.

The witnesses can be segregated in two groups: *"Those who perceived the average dimensions of the plane and have seen a small commuter jet, and those who perceived the logo and colors, including the "AA" sign on the tail, the general appearance of the plane, and recognized a B-757 or an Airbus of American Airlines."* Even if the flying object, that hit Pentagon, was painted in AA's colours, it is difficult to say anything about its size when it suddenly, quite unexpectedly, roars over the heads.

While the mainstream media swallows the ridiculous claims without asking any questions, the Internet offers you the opinion of more or less serious doubters, including such who offers thorough investigations. In summary, the ridiculous claims of the FBI, combined with their suppression of evidences, approaches a confession of complicity [104].

102 <http://www.911-strike.com/engines.htm>

103 <http://www.pentagate.info/>

104 <http://www.pentagate.info/article26.html>

Mrs. Barbara Olsson's Telephone Calls

Let us not forget: Barbara Olsson has presumably got murdered and her husband, Ted Olsson, is thereby a widower. One of the victims for 9/11 shall not be criticised here and her husband treated carefully. However, since Mrs. Olsson's statements have received great importance for the interpretation of, what happened on the day, the strange circumstances of her conversations and her husbands deviating explanations cannot be declared a sacred area, immune to further consideration.

Barbara Olsson was a conservative editor for CNN. Her husband is a sort of 'crown-solicitor' who had already helped Bush become a president: what the voters had not managed so convincingly, the Supreme Court completed in forbidding any further vote counts in Florida after the strongly manipulated election in November 2000. Four years later, the vote-fraud was more massive; George W. Bush is thus the first president of the USA who has not been elected to his function – and that even twice.

Claims about Mrs. Olsson's phone-calls were made already the following night – the other conversations from the fourth plane were only made public on September 16 and later. It is noticeable how CNN brought the story at 02:06: *"Barbara Olson, a conservative commentator and attorney, alerted her husband, Solicitor General Ted Olson, that the plane she was on was being hijacked Tuesday morning, Ted Olson told CNN. Shortly afterwards, Flight 77 crashed into the Pentagon" ... "Ted Olson told CNN that his wife said all passengers and flight personnel, including the pilots, were herded to the back of the plane by armed hijackers. The only weapons she mentioned were knives and cardboard cutters. She felt nobody was in charge and asked her husband to tell the pilot what to do."*

Joe Vialls analysed the matter in March 2002. He emphasizes that CNN does not quote Ted Olson directly. Otherwise it should have been: *"Mr Olson told CNN, 'My wife said all passengers and flight personnel...'"* The question is, from where CNN has the story. Ted Olsson only came back to work 6 days after 9/11. Did he call CNN on that day in the evening? But when he finally made a statement half a year later, the story had ripened considerably:

"She [Barbara] had trouble getting through, because she wasn't using her cell phone – she was using the phone in the passengers' seats. I guess she didn't have her purse, because she was calling collect, and she was trying to get through to the Department of Justice, which is never very easy." ... "She wanted to know 'what can I tell the pilot? What can I do? How can I stop this?'"

Here we first meet the version with the air phone (plausible) and 'collect call' (impossible). An air phone functions only with a credit card, and obviously Mrs Olsson did not have any with her. Imagine she borrowed a card from a friendly co-

passenger to the first connection, could she then not later have used a 'collect call'? No, with another card, she could simply talk for 2.50 \$ per minute (plus the same for establishing the connection), to be charged only to the card of the friendly passenger. This version does not fit. There has never been shown a bill from this connection from the telephone company. And even with an air phone, it is not quite simple to keep up the connection with a low cruising height and high speed.

And then comes the question, which advise she should give the pilot (who according to the official fairy tale now sits in the cabin). It is from Mrs. Olsson's alleged conversation that we are first informed about the Islamic hijackers with *box-cutters*. Could one imagine that the serious widower was not completely sticking to the truth? In fact, we have his own comment to that issue. In Washington Post, March 21, 2002, in the article "*The Limits of Lying*," Jim Hoagland writes: "*Addressing the Supreme Court of the United States of America, US Solicitor General Theodore Olson said it is "easy to imagine an infinite number of situations ... where government officials might quite legitimately have reasons to give false information out."*" And it appears that Mrs. Olsson never called from the plane [105], as Opednews wrote: We were fed a lie by Ted Olsen who served as Solicitor General for the Bush Adm., when on 9/11 he held a press conference to tell America and the world that his dead wife had called him before her demise from the jet she was on that had just been hijacked ... The FBI has reported that no such call between Barbara Olsen and Ted Olsen ever took place on 9/11 [106]. Besides, American Airlines declared, "we do not have phones on our Boeing 757."

E. Shanksville

From the crashing site by Shanksville, there has been least attention. Even many 'conspiracy theoreticians' – if I should at all use that concept of those who, like me, do not accept the official conspiracy theory – thinks that now we have had enough. Some have made the tired theory that exactly the real UA93, after having been loaded with all passengers from all the four planes and some alibi-hijackers, has crashed here, possibly even was shut down. On the contrary it seems that nobody let their life by this crash, and UA93 has been downed elsewhere, but that is also an interesting notion.

The other three planes had taken off according to plan at 7:59-8:20. UA93 took off at 8:42 with 42 min. delay from Newark. Possibly the spare partner, AA77,

105 http://globalecho.org/view_article.php?aid=2434

106 http://www.opednews.com/articles/opedne_bill_dou_080219_breaking_9_2f11_news_3a_.htm

took over the assault on Pentagon, and since it was anyhow not the real plane that hit, it did not matter much which of them was given the honour.

The plane should have been hijacked somewhere between 09:10 and 09:20. It must have taken some time for the hijackers to find out, how to steer a plane, because only at 09:35 did it change the course near Cleveland, heading back towards East, towards Washington. The Passengers were invited to call back home with their mobile phones and were thereby informed about the other assaults. They stormed the cockpit ("Let's roll") and provoked the plane's crash at 10:06. Although there is only one genuine crash area, a small engine was found one mile away (at least part of an aeroplane, but too small for a Boeing) and various rubbish in up to 8 miles distance.

Similar to the crashes and collapses of WTC, also this event was determined seismologically, and that even unintended. A seismological centre near to Baltimore was asked to determine the exact time for the attack on Pentagon. They were not able to see that but added that they could easily recognize the crash of UA93 at 10:06. To understand this discrepancy you should recognize that pseudo-AA77 hit



the Pentagon horizontally while pseudo-UA93 descended vertically and released an explosion. From that event, a photo exists, documenting a mushroom-formed smoke, as seen after a grenade explosion.

The 'wing-scar' had disturbed for years, until a photo from 1994 appeared, which showed that a rift was previously present. The question therefore is, if anything at all came from above, except for some luggage dropped by a plane over 8 miles. Everything was carefully planned in advance, as for the other 'crash-sites.'

Furthermore, there has been much talk about the plane having been shot down. The witnesses are, unfortunately, not very consistent – aggravated through a complete disinterest by the FBI to hear any witnesses. It has been stated to Internet sources that a smaller jet, of some identified as a Thunderbolt, joined the pseudo-UA93. Six witnesses saw it: *"The unmarked military-style jet swooped down at high speed through the valley, twice circled the smouldering black scar where Flight 93 had careered into the ground just seconds earlier and then hurtled off over the horizon."* FBI first refuted the very existence of their story but *"then*

they changed their story and tried to say it was a plane taking pictures of the crash 3,000 feet up."

Another incredible action, relevant to the crash in Shanksville, happened in Cleveland just as the real UA93 should pass. The fake bombing alarm and action by the FBI has been described above (see 'General about Transponders').

Before you look at pictures from the crash in Shanksville [107], it is reasonable to compare with pictures from plane crashes in the Free World. A plane crash with the complete disappearance of flight remnants, as four times experienced on 9/11, is totally unknown. There is always ample wreckage. For such a consideration, the crash-site at Shanksville is unique, after all the two planes from WTC disappeared in that and in Washington, the plane disappeared in the Pentagon and seemingly shut the door afterwards. In Pennsylvania, you just have a hole in the ground and still no wreckage. The authority, which investigates real civil plane crashes, National Transportation Safety Board [NTBS], was not activated on that day, which appears to be a fair decision.

The mayor of Shanksville, Ernie Stull, confirmed that they had not found any metal part greater than a plate and no body remnants at all [108]; later he denied this claim. FBI later claimed to have found the black-boxes of the plane in 11 meters depth (the content is largely secret, relieving us from doubting this part of the fairy tale). Various luggage parts were distributed over a large area but objective signs in the available photos are totally missing.

Soon, a small memorial place was established, not directly adjacent to the crash site but some hundred meters away. In the meantime, the hole had turned into a small hill and was closed by a fence and in the beginning, mounted police closed the area for closer approach.

The possibility to identify the planes from small parts found at the spot has not been utilized, and it should have possible even in New York, had the actual planes collided there. Every military and civilian passenger-carrying aircraft have several small parts that are individually controlled by a distinctive serial number. Worse, it has been claimed that a Boeing 757 with the registry number N591UA, the same as UA93, is still in operation [109].

Mobil phones in Airplanes

One of the phantastic aspects of 9/11 was the so-called mobile phonecalls from three of the planes (AA77, UA93 and UA175). From all these planes there was also

107 <http://hometown.aol.de/rkinet/html/shanksville.html>

108 <http://home.t-online.de/home/willy.brunner/Homepage14Anschlag.html>

109 <http://www.rense.com/general64/prec.htm>

made calls from telephones in the seat (air phones). They should prove that it was the bad Arabs who had hijacked the planes. However, the attempted evidence were somewhat disturbed by clear contradictions in the statements. Added to this confusion comes the stories from the mobile phone calls. It is impossible to create and maintain a call from a mobile telephone from a plane flying higher than 8,000 feet (normal altitude: ~30,000 feet) and flies faster than 230 mph (normal speed ~600 mph). These thresholds are considerably closer over areas with a greater distance between the phone masts. The mobile phone must report to a mast within 10 km and then it takes 45 seconds, before the connection has been established. In the meantime, the plane may have flown past the next mast.

In July 2004, USA Today happily reported *"a joint effort between Qualcomm and American Airlines to allow passengers to make cellphone calls from aircraft in flight. According to the story, the satellite-based system employs a 'Pico cell' to act as a small cellular tower"* [110]. In 2006 it will finally be possible (and permitted) to use your mobile phone from a commercial airplane – it was not possible before.

Adjusted to the recognition that these phantastic conversations could hardly technically have been made from mobile phones, the explanations were adjusted. Now the conversations should have been made from the air phones. Peter Hanson should have talked to his father from the UA175 (Claimed endpoint: WTC South Tower). This conversation was interrupted several times and the first call took place at 08:52. One minute before, the 'White-Wash-Commission' confirms, the plane flies at an altitude of 31,000 feet. Also AA77 (Pentagon) was initially flying at normal altitudes. The same commission reports that the plane was hijacked at 08:56, coinciding with Barbara Olsson's first call and at 09:12 came the first call from Renee May to her mother in Las Vegas. 18 Minutes later the plane had still an altitude of 7,000 feet (and 2 minutes later it should have crashed into the Pentagon).

Except for the technical aspects, also the content of the talks is mildly spoken strange. Here, some of the 10 phone calls from UA93 (Pennsylvania) are resumed. These started at 09:32, 4 minutes after that the report acknowledges an altitude of 35,000 feet and 9 minutes before the transponder is turned off. Only at 10:06, 34 minutes after the first of these dubious conversations, Pseudo-UA93 crashes.

'Pittsburgh Channel' described in an article on September 16, 2001: *"Todd Beamer placed a call on one of the Boeing 757's on-board telephones and spoke for 13 minutes with GTE operator Lisa D. Jefferson, Beamer's wife said. He provided detailed information about the hijacking and – after the operator told him about the morning's World Trade Center and Pentagon attacks – said he and others on the plane were planning to act against the terrorists aboard."* The

operator from the firm 'Verizon Airphone' was unable to connect him to his wife, Mrs Lisa Beamer, or any sensible authority.

Instead, Todd Beamer talked for a long time with the mentioned operator, with whom he also prayed. Finally Ms. Jefferson heard how the brave passengers, knowing they had nothing to lose, attacked the cockpit under the fighting scream "*Let's Roll!*" which has also been included on a stone at the memorial. Thereby they caused the plane's crash in a deserted area rather than causing another disaster in an urban area. One year later, the FBI altered the story: Now the two *black-boxes* from the plane had betrayed that it was the hijackers themselves who had chosen this strange place for crashing the plane. Two times they shouted "*Allah Akbar!*" [111] (but the inscription on the stone has not been altered accordingly).

About Edward Felt, the report mentions: "*Local emergency officials said they received a cell phone call at 9.58 am from a man who said he was a passenger aboard the flight. The man said he had locked himself in the bathroom and told emergency dispatchers that the plane had been hijacked. "We are being hijacked! We are being hijacked!" he was quoted as saying.*" What he else might have said is presumably interesting but secret. Contrary to Todd Beamer, Mr. Felt managed to speak to the authorities, not Mr. Somebody but the chief of the rescue services in Pennsylvania, Glenn Cramer himself. Did he know which number to dial? This person received the usual demand of discretion from the FBI. The problem with these two calls from the toilets is the height of the plane and the thinly populated areas of part of the route. But perhaps UA93 had a special luxury onboard: an air phone in the toilet seat?

There is also the cute detail that Mrs Bingham took the phone and heard a man speak, "*Hello Mum, this is Mark Bingham.*" This detail confirms that the calls have taken place, although not from the planes and not with the mentioned persons calling. Mrs. Bingham was unable to recognise her son, although he presented toward his mother with his surname.

Cleveland – the Ignored Scene of the Crime

In Cleveland, they had been lucky to follow the last plane on the radar for some time, but then the FBI rushed in with a fake bombing alarm and demanded that all controllers should leave. The two, who were keeping an eye on UA93, refused but were then removed by force. Shortly after, but considerable time after the turning off of the transponder, the plane should have changed direction, but

111 <http://www.wikisearch.net/en/wikipedia/u/un/united airlines flight 93.html>

there were also two planes which landed in Cleveland [112], and one of these, of obscure identity, was taxied over to the NASA-airport.

At 10 a.m., the airport was evacuated. The passengers had to leave the airport but were not allowed to take their car. They had to walk or got a ride at the highway. Busses were not allowed to leave the airport. A Flight X landed at 10:45, and at 11:15, 200 passengers were taken into the evacuated NASA Center, parked at Runway 28/10 near NASA Center [113].

A Boeing 767 out of Boston made an emergency landing at Cleveland Hopkins International Airport due to concerns that it may have a bomb aboard, said Mayor Michael R. White. White said the plane had been moved to a secure area of the airport, and was evacuated [114]. Perhaps it was Delta 1489, but it is likely that the whereabouts of various planes in the controller-lose time is confused.

The passengers from all four flights all ended up at Cleveland Airport, and not coincidentally, were told they made emergency landings because of reports of a "bomb" aboard – but theories of 'new lives and new identities' [115] surpasses the cruelty of the 9/11 organizers.

F. Two Hour's Inactivity

Obviously, you may ask how many different leading politicians and authority chiefs knew about what was going to happen. The preceding makes it certain that they had known that something great would happen (a 'New Pearl Harbor') and their attitudes, as well immediately during the attacks and also afterwards, has been to suppress any discovery of the facts. Thereby they make themselves technically complicit of the attack, but many are probably to be found among the organizers as well. It is then another question, if we shall ever hear the relieving words: "*Mr. Bush / Rumsfeld / Wolfowitz / Cheney / Silverstein / Ashcroft / Mueller / Giuliani / Ms. Rice (etc.), you have the right to remain silent, everything you say may be used in the process against you.*" The mentioned persons have done their best to proceed with more death, suffering and injustice, and it requires an uprising in the USA to manage bringing them to court in their lifetime. This is not a call for a revolution – contrary to the American leadership, I shall not mix up in the authoritarian leadership of other countries – but it emphasizes the necessity to collect evidence in other countries.

112 <http://inn.globalfreepress.com/modules/news/article.php?storyid=323>

113 http://de.geocities.com/woody_box2000/clevelandmystery.html

114 http://www.wcpo.com/specials/2001/americaattacked/news_local/story14.html

115 <http://letsrollforums.com/happened-passengers-4-flights-t20496.html>

A possible explanation is that some of the leading culprits, as always in possession of the best alibi, only knew that something would happen around 9 a.m. (was Pseudo-AA11 coming too early?) and they should let the busy criminals two hours time to cover the most important tracks (e.g. letting accompanying planes land) while the central in WTC-7 was destroyed later that day (with most of the attention absorbed by WTC-1 & -2).

Except for covering the crime with his inactivity it is characteristically that the pResident (spelled according to Michael Rivero, of www.whatreallyhappened.com) was himself not the least afraid of himself being afraid of an assault – “*America is under attack,*” as his advisor Andrew Cane told him at 09:05. You are not afraid of what you have yourself helped to organize, as described with the remark ‘The dog that didn’t bark:’

Inspector Gregory: "Is there any other point to which you would wish to draw my attention?"

Holmes: "To the curious incident of the dog in the night-time."

"The dog did nothing in the night time"

"That was the curious incident," remarked Sherlock Holmes.

From "The Adventure of Silver Blaze" of Arthur Conan Doyle

Also other of the leading persons was unavailable for the first time, before suddenly getting busy. The chief of defense, General Myers, had disappeared, Rumsfeld could not be disturbed while at a meeting in Pentagon, until somebody knocked rather hard at the backdoor. Then he was letting himself being photographed as helpful sanitarian at the wreckage-repellent lawn behind the Pentagon, though not more energetically than his fine clothes also remained unstained when he was escorted back to the fine entrance. Perhaps there is a sign there saying: “Boeings please use the backdoor.”

Vice-president Cheney was in the White House. According to the official fairy-tale, he was taken under the arms by security people who brought him through a subterranean tunnel to a secret commanding central.- Here he sat and governed, but what he was really doing in the fatal two hours, remains largely obscure. We know only parts of what he did not do.

The Dog that did not Bark

“The President was seated in a classroom when, at 9:05, Andrew Card whispered to him: “A second plane hit the second tower. America is under

attack." The President told us his instinct was to project calm, not to have the country see an excited reaction at a moment of crisis. The press was standing behind the children; he saw their phones and pagers start to ring. The President felt he should project strength and calm until he could better understand what was happening." (From the White-Wash-Commission's report, July 2004)

When Bush opens his mouth, there is usually a reason to regret. That includes the following statement:

"I was sitting outside the classroom waiting to go in, and I saw an airplane hit the tower -- the TV was obviously on. And I used to fly myself, and I said, well, there's one terrible pilot. I said, it must have been a horrible accident."
[116]

At that time, Bush was the only one who had seen the first plane hit the North Tower, that is, before he went in to hear the proud schoolchildren. It was, by the way, the seventh version in only two months of how he had gained knowledge about the first assault. The many films we saw were all from the second plane (only did an amateur film turn up, showing WTC-1 and the nose of a plane). Well, here the memories have been a bit confused, he. In the tensed sphere before his appearance for the future voters, Bush had also forgotten that all Manhattan is a forbidden zone for planes and even a bad pilot should have very bad luck for hitting WTC under these circumstances.

But Bush knew that a plane had hit WTC and 2 minutes after the second plane had repeated this art of obscure landings, he was also informed that *"America is under attack,"* and still he remained seated [117]. When he finally rose after 10 minutes, one could imagine he felt a duty to avoid the same fate, to be a victim of a terrorist act. He drove to the adjacent airport in Sarasota (was the scene chosen with respect to this prochanity?), from where he with 'Air Force One,' but now completely without protection of any jets (most were anyhow in Alaska) flew to Louisiana, still ignorant about how many civilian planes had been abducted. Or had he a special reason to feel perfectly comfortably?

Shortly before 9 a.m., Bush had arrived to the school. At 08:48, several TV-stations were reporting live about the events in New York. At 08:50 it was reported that at least three planes had been abducted. At 09:03, the goat-show in Florida started, and simultaneously, WTC-2 was hit by Pseudo-UA175. There were about

116 www.whitehouse.gov/news/releases/2001/12/20011204-17.html

117 <http://thewebfairy.com/killtown/bush.html>

150 people in the room in Florida; just 16 of them were pupils. At 9:05 followed Andy Card's message, as reported above, and then happened ... nothing. Bush did not know which country had attacked America (Russia? China? Liechtenstein?), he did not ask and gave no defensive orders. He bit in the lower lip for five seconds and remained seated. Then he took up the now famous book, 'The Pet Goat' (the pResident's favourite literature) and tried to follow the text [118]. Simultaneously, his vice-president had almost violently been evacuated from the White House, as mentioned above. But if the vice-president had been brought to safety, why not the pResident, whose school plans had been announced well before? From the other side of the big room, his press secretary Ari Fleischer held a big note up, stating "DON'T SAY ANYTHING YET" with large capital letters [119]. When Bush finally got up and – without haste – left the classroom, is not quite clear. It has probably been between 09:13 and 09:16. He managed also to say *"Hoo! These are great readers. Very impressive! Thank you all so much for showing me your reading skills. I bet they practice too. Don't you? Reading more than they watch TV? Anybody do that? Read more than you watch TV? [Hands go up] Oh that's great! Very good. Very important to practice! Thanks for having me. Very impressed."*

He wanted to leave but now a journalist was blocking the door, asking: *"Mr. President, are you aware of the reports of the plane crash in New York? Is there anything..."*, but Bush interrupted him with *"I'll talk about it later."* He then posed for a photo with the class teacher, Ms. Daniels. Only when all 15 reporters had left, Bush went to an empty classroom, where he (according to the plans) kept a short meeting of staff for closed doors. Only at 09:35, the pResident's convoy left the school and proceeded towards the airport of Sarasota. Also here, there was no dog barking. Air Force One took off at 09:55, at a time when also Pentagon had been hit and between one and eight other planes were suspectedly hijacked.

When Air Force One finally was joined by jetfighters is unknown. Probably it happened between 11:00 and 11:30, but that remains a secret. It landed in Barksdale, Louisiana, at 11:45, took off again about 13:30 and landed in Offutt Air Force Base in Nebraska shortly before 15:00. Nearby, there were a meeting of bankers (refugees of WTC?) but if Bush participated in their meeting is not known.

Shortly before he went to bed at 23:30, Bush wrote in his diary [120]: *"The Pearl Harbor of the 21st century took place today.... We think it's Osama bin*

118 <http://answers.google.com/answers/threadview?id=367341>

119 <http://www.washtimes.com/national/20021007-85016651.htm>

120 <http://www.washingtonpost.com/ac2/wp-dyn/A42754-2002Jan26>

Laden." Another suitable quotation his memories are: "It was an interesting day" [121], and that is certainly true.

Probable time	From "An Interesting Day" (Bush's own words for 9/11) Timeline for Dubya's goat-show in Florida	Time Range	
		Earliest	Latest
08:20	Morning briefing conference ended		
08:34	Bush leaves his hotel	08:30	08:39
08:46	AA 11 hits north side of North Tower		
08:48	CNN starts sending direct from Manhattan		
08:55	Bush arrives at school	08:46	09:00
09:03	Bush sits down in the classroom		
09:03	UA 175 hits south side of South Tower		
09:07	Andrew Card: America is under attack	09:05	09:07
09:16	Bush leaves the classroom	09:12	09:16
09:20	<i>The scheduled end of Bush's stay</i>		
	Bush prepares a short speech with his staff in adjacent room	Until	09:30
09:30	Bush delivers a short speech outside the school		
09:35	Bush takes off from school in direction of the airport		
09:37	AA 77 hits western side of Pentagon		
09:43	Bush arrives at Air Force One		
09:55	Air Force One takes off from Sarasota-Bradenton Int. Airport	09:55	09:57
	Air Force One circles over Saratoga, discussing where to go	until	10:35
10:06	UA 93 crashes near Shanksville, PA		
10:35	Air Force One aims towards Louisiana		
10:55	False alarm of unknown plane near Air Force One		
After 10:55	Six Jets protect Air Force One	11:00	11:30
11:45	Air Force One lands at Barksdale AFB		
12:16	US air-space closed for civilian flights (except emergencies)		
After 12:30	Bush tapes a short speech at the AFB, aired at 13:20		
13:20	Air Force One leaves Barksdale AFB		
14:58	Air Force One lands at Ofutt AFB, Nebraska, shortly	Before	15:00
16:30	Air Force One leaves Ofutt AFB		
18:34	Air Force One lands at Andrews AFB, Washington D.C.		
20:30	Bush gives a televised 5 min. speech at the White House		
23:30	Bush goes to sleep after writing in his Diary (see elsewhere)		

Timeline of a pResident of a country under attack, from that very day.

The White-Wash Commission

The investigations, predominantly made by the FBI, have only had the purpose to ascertain (or, rather, destruct) evidences. Very little has been available and this even so late that a rather massive manipulation can be expected. The congress demanded a commission, with a declared purpose not to investigate 'who did it' but clarify the question, if the attack could have been foreseen and thus prevented. The

121 <http://www.whitehouse.gov/news/releases/2002/01/20020105-3.html>:
President Bush recalling 9/11

original budget for the investigations was 3 mio. \$. As the report appeared (but only partly made public) in July 2004, they had still spent only 17 mio. \$. For comparison, the investigations around Clinton's DNA-tracks in Monica Lewinsky underwear (which had been properly kept for the purpose) had cost the American taxpayer \$65 million while the cost of the Columbia space shuttle disaster investigation was \$175 million.

In the beginning, Bush acted angrily against this commission, and as it wanted insight in documents from the White House, his anxiety increased. In the end, only two members of the commissioner were permitted insight in certain documents, selected by the White House, and they were not allowed to take any notes. "Never before have so few men got such a limited insight in so important documents under so strong conditions," one of the commission's more critical members loudly thought.

Actually, there was nothing to be afraid of. The commission should, as mentioned above, not solve the mystery of 9/11. Bröckers compared it with an investigation, if Titanic's doom could have been caused by a defect steering mechanism without considering other causes, such as an iceberg etc.

The composition of the commission was also strange. Kissinger would gladly have chaired it, but not when his economical interests therefore should have been published. Almost all members of the commission had connections to intelligence services, oil and weapons industry and the tamed mainstream media. Only one person showed in that respect 'clean,' Senator Max Cleland [122], but his exchange then rapidly followed.

Printed initially in a volume of 500,000, the 9/11 Commission Report can also be found in the Internet (7.4 MB) [123]. The thus in 2004 published commission's report is not only full of lies and omissions, it is directly confusing in directly contradicting events as they had been repeated for almost three years from official side. Some of the discrepancies have been commented on already, here are some more. One of the most important questions was, why apparently no jetfighters met the abducted planes. Here new aspects were presented:

The North American Aerospace Defense Command imagined the possible use of aircraft as weapons, too, and developed exercises to counter such a threat – from planes coming to the United States from overseas. (...) As we pointed out in chapter 1, the military planners assumed that since such aircraft would be coming from overseas, they would have time to identify the target and scramble interceptors.

122 http://www.9-11commission.gov/about/bio_cleland.htm

123 <http://www.9-11commission.gov/report/911Report.pdf> - 7,4 mB!

Translation: They were prepared for those that might come from outside but not from such that had started from American airports. Even better is the statement:

The Langley fighters were not scrambled in response to United 93; NORAD did not have 47 minutes to intercept the flight; NORAD did not even know the plane was hijacked until after it had crashed.

Condoleeza Rice concluded that everybody had a small guilt in the disaster and nobody had it really. The American people shared the guilt, having underestimated the terrorist threat. In consequence, more shall be done for security (e.g. Patriot Act and similar suppression of basic civil rights).

Perhaps I shall deal with further details from this commission at a later time; its sole task was to cover up all unreasonable details and swallow all unreasonable claims without posing embarrassing questions – therefore the name: White-Wash Commission. At this place, toward the end of my study report of 9/11, it is not worth wasting further time upon it. The criminal gang, which was behind the 'New Pearl Harbor,' will not deliberately leave the government of the USA. It has demonstrated that democratical elections can be replaced with previously programmed election machines while it, simultaneously and full of hypocrisy, attempts to lead public attention to election irregularities in other parts of the World. Nobody have been sued for neglectance in connection with 9/11 (and some have been kept in jail for years without any charge), on the contrary, the most incompetent ones have been honoured and promoted. What is to expect from such surroundings?

G. What was the role of Mossad?

Mossad's role on the 9/11 is a better-preserved secret than the American authorities own part, which I hope to have demonstrated above. *At the very least, Mossad, the Israeli very active intelligence abroad, has had a detailed knowledge about what was going on, months in advance.* That and the large part of Jewish Zionists in the group of 'Neoconservative' do not prove that they were actively involved in producing the disaster. It only proves that they did nothing to prevent, and everything to document it.

If they were not active participants, Israel's government was in possession of a comprehensive material, with which they could blackmail the American government unlimited acknowledgements in their domestic and foreign policy. It is, of course, also possible that the American administration, caused the massive Zionist infiltration at all government levels, deliberately had given them these means at hand. It is well-known, how Sharon with his apartheid-wall is about to

steal most of the Palestinian homeland while the rest is reshaped as a number of concentration camps, whose prisoners can be fed with the aid of the rest of the World (and buy their own water). Less known, thanks to mainstream medias blind spots, is how American and other nations soldiers are set to fight for the Israeli interests with the occupation of Iraq, scheduled to be followed by Syria and Iran. The criminalisation of Islamic faithful in all Western states, in particular in the USA, can also be seen as a sign of that. All this can be explained by the Israeli foreknowledge and the Zionist infiltration of USA's government.

This chapter thereby gets two parts: Indications of Israeli foreknowledge and possibilities for an active participation in the events. A third part dealing with a listing of evidence for the latter cannot be made here.

Israel's Foreknowledge

Mossad contains in USA a florid activity of spies [124]. A particular topic is a very comprehensive telephone espionage, which did not stop for the White House. Until 9/11, this activity was supplied with a large number of 'moving companies' and 'art students.' Already in 2000, parts of this activity had become known but, ironically, it was the reactionary TV-channel 'Fox News' who after 9/11 by coincidence opened for the news for history's largest spy-scandal between 'friendly' nations. After just four days, it deleted the report that more than 200 Israeli spies had been expelled from the United States in the days before and weeks after 9/11, in which the source confidentially told Carl Cameron from Fox, "*Evidence linking these Israelis to 9/11 is classified. I cannot tell you about evidence that has been gathered. It's classified information*" [125].

Israel founded different government-subsided telephone-companies. One of these is Amdocs, which delivers the bills and yields business assistance to 90% of the telephone companies in USA – and their Central computer for all this activity is based in Israel. Another company is Comverse Infosys, responsible for the insertment of a bugging medium, which has now become part of all phones, at least in USA. It is claimed that this 'phone tapping equipment' only serves maintenance purposes. A third company is Odigo (see Warnings). All three firms are owned by Israeli and have strong ties to their army, 'Israeli Defense Force.' Knowledge is power, in particular if the opposing forces can be kept ignorant! It is worth investing in some subsidiaries to get such contracts. Ironically, through their support of Israel with about 3 bio. \$ annually, the Americans have come to finance the espionage, which enables even larger pressure in the future.

124 <http://www.whatreallyhappened.com/spying2.html>

125 <http://www.whatreallyhappened.com/Israeli-Spying-Part-2.htm>

It gets worse: Rivero reports that *"the majority of the firewalls on US corporate and government computer systems are provided by just one company, Checkpoint Systems,"* which is also based in Israel [126]. If they can read my computer? I thought it is the task of National Security Agency [**NSA**].

In the case about the white caravan [127], 5 southern looking men were arrested in New Jersey after witnesses had noticed their inappropriate behaviour at the roof of a house and later at the roof of their minibus. From there, they had filmed the attacks on the WTC (the pictures and videos were, of course, entered into FBI's black hole of no return) and thereby performed subtle dances of joy. One of the five was dressed like an Arab, thus fitting the general mood against such people. One of the five had 4.700 \$ hidden in a soc, one was equipped with two different passports. It turned up that they all five were Israeli citizens, working for a moving company, 'Urban Moves' without a work permit. In their car, now known as 'the white van,' there were traces of explosives (for the WTC demolition?). After a brief arrest, the dancing agents were expelled to Israel while the owner of the firm, Dominic Suter, had left by himself three days after 9/11. One of the atypical agents told upon return that their task had been to *"document"* the attack.

Moving firms were also after 9/11 a favourite basis for Israeli agents. On the web page 'Mossad and Moving Companies' [128], other three cases are reported. A larger part of the spies were the 'art students' who sold peaces of 'art' (made in China) exactly near places of some importance for 9/11. They were described by Hopsinger (see Hijackers) near to the flight school in Venice, Florida, where Mohammed Atta and at least three of the other alleged hijackers had stayed for a long time. Also near the alleged terrorists stay in Hollywood, the 'art students' had a nest. In the previously quoted article, the American organisation Drug Enforcement Administration [**DEA**] is mentioned: *"A highly detailed DEA report that was acquired by French intelligence analysts documented 180 cases of Israeli art students infiltrating DEA facilities. It provided names, drivers' license numbers, addresses and phone numbers of the Israelis. [DEA report 6/01; Insight 3/11/02]."*

In the good old days, a spy was set up against the wall and shot. Times have changed. In 1987, an American Jew, Jonathan Pollard, was imprisoned for having delivered research objects to Israel. Soon after, the Israeli government sold it further to the Soviet Union, the biggest damage ever done to American military. Not exactly a warm-hearted action towards the 'friends' in USA. Pollack was later, though still imprisoned, granted Israeli citizenship and the Israeli state has repeatedly tried to get him free (and with their present strong position, they shall

126 http://www.checkpoint.com/corporate/contact_israel.html#intl_hq

127 <http://www.gothamgazette.com/citizen/jan02/7.shtml>

128 <http://www.cassiopaea.org/cass/globemovers.htm>

probably soon succeed). In the months after 9/11, more than 200 Israeli spies were summarily expelled to Israel after a brief arrest, but no charge. One starts to long for the good old days.

The eMail, which warned two Odigo-workers against going into the WTC on 9/11 (see above: Warnings), came from Israel. In proper Orwell-style (the Ministry of Truth), CNN deleted its own web-message, while this can still be seen at the homepage of Haaretz, the liberal daily of Israel [129].

Ariel Sharon should have been in Washington on 9/11, but he cancelled the meeting the day before. A blog claims special knowledge of his PM-predecessor, Ehud Barak: "When Sharon assumed power in March 2001, Barak came to America. He supposedly came to the United States to work as a special advisor for Electronic Data Systems and as a partner with SCP Partners, a private equity company focused on "security-related" work - but this was only his cover. His real assignment was to oversee the terror attacks of 9-11" [130]. He then disappeared in right time to London, where he continued his work on the very day. While the whole world was stunned Barak appeared from BBC's main office in London with a pre written/pre-pared speech. He spent 30 minutes speaking about how dangerous some "Islamic" countries and terrorists are. At the end of the interview, the news reporter stated that Mr. Barak joined him an hour before it was broadcasted, meaning Barak was there even an hour earlier. In real time of events this means he was there within minutes of the blast, with a pre-written interview [131].

Mossad's Possibilities

On Sept. 10, 2001, just 24 hours before the WTC attack, The Washington Times ran an article quoting intelligence sources that described Israel's Mossad as "Wildcard. Ruthless and cunning. Has capability to target US forces and make it look like a Palestinian/Arab act." Moreover, they said, it was generally known in the intelligence community that the Mossad had penetrated every Muslim organization and would have little problem in finding any number of fanatics to carry out a suicide mission in the belief they were serving Allah [132].

Also in Israel, it was readily attempted to mix up Allah in the attack. Already on the afternoon of 9/11 (concerning the time difference shortly after it happened in New York), an Israeli TV-channel could show Palestinians, who were triumphal and happy after the report, that the towers had collapsed. Months later, a Finnish journalist by coincidence met one of the 'evil' persons in Palestine, whom she

129 <http://www.haaretzdaily.com/hasen/pages/ShArt.jhtml?itemNo=77744∓contrassID=/has%5C>

130 <http://therebel.org/opinion/terror/217806-how-ehud-barak-pulled-off-9-11>

131 <http://911exposed.org/BBC.htm>

132 <http://www.public-action.com/911/sams.html>

recognized from her particulate and thick glasses. The woman told that they at this time had heard nothing about WTC. An Israeli TV-team had arrived and told that they needed some scenes of joyful people in the occupied areas. The adults received some money, the children sweets and the certainty to be shown in the TV. They did not realize that they would become world-famous on that occasion.

An Israel citizen, Ezra Harel, owns a private security firm, ICTS. The firm is registered in the Netherlands and is, partly through the daughter firm Huntleigh USA Corporation [133], responsible for the security in many airports, including those three from where the four spectacular planes of 9/11 started, as you can control on the firm's own web-page [134]. The majority of the staff consists of former officers from Shin Bet (the inland intelligence service of Israel). Their probable involvement in the London attacks on 7/7 2005 may retrospectively change an evaluation of their role in 9/11: after only two months in the branch of train security, ICTS staged an exercise in the British subway on 7/7, for which Moslem volunteers were hired 'to test security.' Equipped with explosives, but ignorant of the attached timer or remotely controlled trigger, these turned out to the public to be 'suicide bombers.'

Presently there is evidence for detailed Israeli foreknowledge but no proof of a direct collaboration. Israel has presumably utilized its knowledge of USA's government's active part in 9/11 for an unprecedented blackmail of USA for its own purposes, both in- and outside Palestine. The massive involvement of Israeli intelligence around the event of 9/11 and the willingness of Washington to expel these 200 spies without a single indictment suggests complicity. The traces of explosives found in the 'white van' is probably suggestive of, how the explosives where entered into the WTC – by means of the Israeli 'moving companies.'

How would a pilot react, when his machine was possibly abducted? It may not be quite expectable. For that reason, I believe that all four machines were landed (at least two, probably all four in Cleveland), the passengers were kindly let out, being assured that worse might come, and 'please do not use your mobile phones' (Atta may still have done). Remotely controlled planes where then used for the three places, in Shanksville (possibly also Pentagon) simply a missile, then some suitcases distributed from another machine (the white jet). Slowly this concept grasps me.

In summary, Israel played a major role in the acquisition and demolition of the WTC. They are still (2011) profiting from the crime.

133 <http://www.google.com/search?q=cache:m3ZqXvCFSroC:www.union-network.org/unjproperty.nsf/1667988b5e105a37c1256b3c0049ef61/4c6f0ba768119014c1256af500217f48%3FOpenDocument+ICTS+security+Newark&hl=en&start=1>

134 <http://www.icts-int.com/>

H. Mainstream Media's Moral Decay

Although I have become very critical towards the press in connection with its 'coverage' of 9/11 and what has since followed, and although I have taken wide-ranging consequences in my own searches of information in that connection, I am not blind to the pressure that honest journalists are now suffering. An anonymous journalist told Michael Rivero: *"While I agree with you, if I say anything about US geopolitical interests with Israel, I might as well clean off my desk"* [135]. They have to choose between making a career by criticizing doubter (like the author of these pages), report about earthquakes and other politically harmless matters or simply losing the job.

Many of those who lost loved ones in the 9/11 attacks took advantage of a government Initiated "Victim Compensation Fund." Those who took the compensation could not then participate in a further lawsuit to find out exactly what happened [136].

I shall not repeat the extensive criticism, which can be found above. Instead, the coverage of Ellen Mariani's process shall be given detailed notice with the words of their own reporting [137]. Mrs. Mariani lost her husband in New York on 9/11. Contrary to the majority of victim's relatives, she refused the offered sum from the government, which was linked to the demand not to sue any at court. Instead, she holds the government (at least indirectly) responsible and has charged that *"President Bush and officials including, but not limited to Cheney, Rumsfeld, Rice, Ashcroft and Tenet":* 1.) had adequate foreknowledge of 9/11 yet failed to warn the county or attempt to prevent it; 2.) have since been covering up the truth of that day; 3.) have therefore abetted the murder of plaintiff's husband and violated the Constitution and multiple laws of the United States; and 4.) are thus being sued under the Civil RICO (Racketeering, Influence, and Corrupt Organization) Act for malfeasant conspiracy, obstruction of justice and wrongful death.

The suit text goes on to document the detailed forewarnings from foreign governments and FBI agents; the unprecedented delinquency of the air defense; the inexplicable half hour dawdle of our Commander in Chief at a primary school after hearing the nation was under deadly attack; the incessant invocation of national security and executive privilege to suppress the facts; and the obstruction of all subsequent efforts to investigate the disaster. It concludes that *"compelling evidence will be presented in this case through discovery, subpoena power, and testimony [that] Defendants failed to act and prevent 9/11 knowing the attacks*

135 <http://www.whatreallyhappened.com/spyring.html>

136 <http://www.freemarketnews.com/WorldNews.asp?nid=45745>

137 <http://www.scoop.co.nz/mason/stories/HL0311/S00224.htm>

would lead to an 'International War on Terror' which would benefit defendants both financially and politically."

Press releases detailing these explosive allegations were sent out to 3,000 journalists in the print and broadcast media, and a press conference to announce the filing was held in front of Independence Hall in Philadelphia on November 26th 2003 (commemorating the end of the first futile year of the independent National 9/11 Commission).

Imagine the world-churning implications of these charges. Imagine the furore if just one was proved true. Imagine the courage of this bribe-shunning widow and an eminent attorney with his reputation on the line. Then imagine a press conference to which nobody came. Well, more precisely, imagine a press conference at which only FOX News appears, taped for 40 minutes, and never aired an inch [138].

In USA two journalists were fired from Fox TV in 1998 as they referred the deleterious effects of hormone treatment of calves. The hormone producer Monsanto (better known and feared from genetically manipulated plants) had as usual threatened with one of their draconical court processes. The two had refused to withdraw their own contribution since this would not match the truth and they had requested a position as 'whistleblowers,' which was also supported by the first court decision. Following an appeal in Tampa 2003, the court found the dismissal lawful with the argument, that it was not the task of journalists to refer the truth. In 2005, the two have demanded Fox TV's license withdrawn, since they are not caring about the truth [139].

During the Second World War, the English attack an oil refinery at the Danish island of Bornholm. The German censorship demanded the press to report that only a cow had been killed. 'Bornholms Tidende' was forbidden for a period of time as they added: "*the cow is still burning.*" The time has come again to search for the news between the lines, when it is not satisfying the ruling power.

I. Conclusion

In the shadow of the strong patriotical mood, the new secretary of justice, John Ashcroft, managed with **PATRIOT ACT** to establish a number of laws, which

138 <http://www.nancho.net/911/mariani.html>

139 <http://www.straightgoods.ca/ViewMediaFile5.cfm?REF=4>

strongly inhibits personal liberties [140] (and thus are in conflict with the constitution). On a second glance, Big Brother has not suddenly and unexpectedly turned up. Throughout many years the National Security Agency [**NSA**] has led a global espionage with enormous recording of telephone conversations and an almost complete surveillance of eMails. '**Echelon**,' their spy-organisation, was for long considered the paranoiac's imagination but in the meantime, neither name, existence nor tasks of this organization are kept secret. It must be feared that the Internet not only is our weak possibility for alternative communication (and then for how long?), but also enables American and Israeli intelligence services to gain insight with Internet access, with capacity as the only limiting factor.

At one of the many alternative presences at the net, the following comment is found: *"It's a sad reflection of our times that citizens have to carry out this investigative work, while the budgets of 'intelligence' agencies grow from massive to gigantic."* We have got used to a police which is there to fight criminals; what, then, shall we do when they have changed position to cover up the crimes?

The USA is now a police state, a tyrannical one-party state with two rightist wings, both of which are completely controlled by pro-Israeli forces. And the electronical (paperless) election-machines from Diebold can be manipulated to deliver previously demanded election results.

You can now only, with a mixture of fear and repulsion, consider further tendencies. It is a question of time before all opposition has been crushed. When in Europe some persons (Thierry, von Bülow, Bröckers and Wischnewsky) exerts criticism of the 9/11 reporting they are exposed to false quotation and interrupted interviews with foreshortened sentences. Moreover, they are set in company with phantasts and extremists in order to abhor potential audience. An abundance of sensational and irrational conjecture about 9/11 is being used to discredit any consideration of the conspiracy in general. After all, people still believe in what mainstream media report, and when lies are repeated often enough, they slowly emerge to be the truth.

Although there are still many unsolved questions and conflicting details around 9/11, it has become possible to draw several notable conclusions:

1. The attack was prepared and carried out by the American government in cooperation with powerful financiers in New York.
2. The leader of the action at the day is presumably Dick Cheney while the pResident merely resembles a straw man in the White House.

3. The organisation was heavily staffed and some information leakages can be found. Within the FBI, agents were prepared to act within minutes after the attack on Pentagon (confiscation of surveillance cameras) and the approach of UA93 to Cleveland (the false bombing alarm at the control centre there).
4. Mossad has perhaps initially wanted to warn the Americans. When they realized that the administration stood behind the planned assault, they limited their actions to observe and document as much as possible with the purpose to blackmail the government in Washington to unconditional obedience of Israel's will. They were deeply involved in 9/11, particularly in New York.
5. The preparations can be followed back to March 2001 (leasing of WTC). The alteration in the rules for jetfighter's 'intercept' and the many exercises on 9/11 speaks for planning in good time. But the clear prophecy of the 'New Pearl Harbor' occurred already before Bush's pseudo-election, one year before 9/11.
6. The covering (or cover-up) of 9/11 in the mainstream media is a scandal of its own. This points toward a strong censorship – after all, they cannot be so stupid, can they? This is one of the circumstances that make 9/11 interesting, also for tomorrow! Some information has first appeared in the mainstream media, where they have later silently disappeared. I believe, some journalists have made 'conscious mistakes' without wanting to risk the job for that reason. Such positive flops are known from all dictatorships.
7. The consequences in limited civil rights are particularly easy to see in the USA, but also in Europe, you can sense the effects of the new World Order. Most of it has been described in Orwell's 1984 – get it read again!

Much is clear now about 9/11 to people who dares open their eyes, and many details cannot be considered essential to understand the basic nature of this criminal plot. I can think only of ten essential open questions, relating to 9/11:

1. From where did the remotely guided planes start that hit the WTC?
2. Which type of missile hit Pentagon and what created the hole in the ground by Shanksville?
3. What happened to the original planes and their staff & passengers? Are some of the abductors still alive?
4. What was the role of ICTS?
5. When were the explosives brought into and prepared in the WTC 1, 2 & 7?
6. Why were there so few persons in WTC as the first plane hit?
7. Were remotely flown planes guided by hot-spots in WTC and Pentagon?

8. Why were 6 Raytheon engineers and Barbara Olsson among the victims?
9. By which force do the culprits tame the mainstream media worldwide?
10. Why have there been so few whistle-blowers until now?

These questions are, however, absolutely secondary in relation to the certainty of, who were really responsible for 9/11 and to the sequences to us all, in the mildest case represented by mainstream medias assistance not to reveal the truth.



The Goat story: The acting pResident in Florida while "America is under attack."

Various

Police blunder after 9/11 destroyed a pilot's career: Mr Raissi, 31, was the first person in the world to be arrested in connection with the attacks of [9/11]. He spent 5 months in prison before a judge threw out the tenuous case against him and ordered his release. The British Government has refused to compensate him for wrongful arrest [141].

Since the American government for obvious reasons refuses to deal with its own crimes, an independent investigation must take place outside the USA. The Venezuelan government has planned to launch an International 9/11 Investigation. The US government attempted to sabotage the trip by putting the billionaire philanthropist Jimmy Walter and WTC survivor William Rodriguez on a no fly list. Rodriguez testified to the 9/11 Commission that bombs were in the north tower but his statements were completely omitted from the official record [142].

While the media plays up the significance of the government show trial of the seemingly deranged so-called "20th Hijacker" Zacarias Moussaoui, not one 9-11

141 <http://www.timesonline.co.uk/article/0,,2-1797955,00.html>

142 <http://www.prisonplanet.com/articles/march2006/310306launchinvestigation.htm>

victim's lawsuit has been allowed to be heard in a trial by jury. Today, Mariani, like the other 9-11 plaintiffs, is under a legal gag order which prevents her from speaking about her on-going lawsuit [143].

Myers has wasted no time in dipping into the suitcases of the bloated budget of Bush's military-industrial complex. He serves on the board of Northrop Grumman. Also on the board of John Deere, Myers rubs shoulders with the retired chairman of Lockheed Martin. Donald Rumsfeld has taken good care of Myers in retirement.

In July 2007, it was reported that in the USA, only 16% now believe official fable according to a New York Times/CBS News poll: "Telling the truth 16%; Hiding something 53%; Mostly lying 28%; Not sure 3%." A Canadian Poll put the figure of doubters at 85%. A CNN poll had the figure at even 89%. "We have not taken the country back yet and the cabal that has taken control of the gov. continues to systematically use 9/11 and the war on terror as an excuse to destroy the Constitutional foundations of law and order" [144].

John Schou,
2002-11,
Revised March 29, 2011

143 <http://www.conspiracyplanet.com/index.cfm>

144 <http://www.prisonplanet.com/articles/October2006/141006poll.htm>